## What is a Jew-Baiter?

By the Publisher of "THE WORLD HOAX"



## What is a Jew-Baiter?



HE OTHER day I got a letter from a Congressman. It was not the Congressman in my home district. It was not a letter asking me to vote for him. Emphatically it was not a letter soliciting me to contribute to his campaign expen-

ses. It was a different kind of a letter entirely. In fact, this Congressman wrote me a letter of protest, of remonstrance, vaguely of rebuke.

This, of course, was epochal. When a dog bites a man, the occurrence is not news. But when a man bites a dog there is excitement by night in all the best journalistic offices from Bangor to Bellingham.

Somehow or other, getting a letter of protest, or remontsrance, from a Congressman seemed to fall in the class with *Man Bites Dog* and other items.

Common citizens who merely pay the taxes often exchange letters with Congressmen, but usually the common citizen is the writer of such, and if there is protest involved it proceeds toward Capitol Hill instead of out from it.

In this instance a Congressman was protesting against me, an unwept, unhonored, and unhung journalist, committing the unutterable breach of attempting to supply him with information that perchance might aid him in his law-making.

I had voluntarily placed him upon the gratis list of my publication, *Liberation*.

Evidently this Congressman must have opened it from time to time and acquainted himself with its contents & &

At any rate, perceiving what it was, the gentleman emitted a loud and vociferous youl and dropped it as though it had seared his delicate finger-tips. Shouting excitedly for his stenographers, he had dictated to me a letter.

DEEM it more or less bad manners to talk about a person's correspondence secondhandedly, unless I can repeat what was said, in full. Too many such letters are talked about thus and the reader reserves judgment on the controversy till he finds out if the letter has been fully and correctly quoted.

So not to hold up this touching little story at its start-off, or introduce controversy where no controversy is allowable, I print the letter in full, swearing to you on my honor that not a word has been deleted or a colon misplaced.

The writer of the letter was the Hon. Alfred N. Phillips, Jr., Congressman Representative from the 4th District of Connecticut.

Alfred was excited, as you shall see immediately, that weekly copies of *Liberation* were finding their ways unsolicited into his personal law-making precincts on the Hill.

This is how he demonstrates, and why-

Mr. William Dudley Pelley,

Asheville, N. C.

My dear Mr. Pelley:

Please discontinue sending me any more of your socalled "Liberation" magazine or publications.

There is no one who hates Communism or has been more out-spoken against it than I have. This also goes for Fascism, or any kind of a race-baiting program or political philosophy.

I have no patience with those who indict whole races—hundreds of innocent individuals included in the indictment—for the shortcomings of certain mem-

bers of a particular race. Therefore, I am not in sympathy with the kind of publication which you have been sending me and which comes out in un-American attacks on the Jews.

Very truly yours,
ALFRED N. PHILLIPS, JR.

NOW at first hand, on the face of it, I might I wowl for my own stenographer, jam my briar angrily with tobacco, spill a lot of it, set afire what I could, and while great clouds of aerial carbon veiled my nettled pate, start in to answer Alfred, go to the mat with him, tell him what I think of him, assure him that it will be a pleasure to save the four dollars a year which it would otherwise cost me to get 52 issues of Liberation into his hands, and end up with an hysterical editorial calling attention to the fact that congressmen in general are notoriously inhibited when it comes to knowing what the nation's troubles are all about, and it is not to be wondered at that the country is in a mess when one clique of pin-heads make the laws and the public that are the pin-cushions try to obey them.

All of which might make Alfred still more aggrieved and ultimately cause a breach between us, Alfred proceeding to pass laws against citizens so dangerous as myself while I embrace the debatable privilege of terming Alfred a bifurcated jackass and condoling with the 4th District of Connecticut for having a Representative whose brains if made of dynamite—and touched off with a spark—wouldn't blow his hat off & &

It is never good policy to practice long-distance fisticuffs & &

One of us might get the worst of it and the country never hear of it.

Then there is another side to the whole of it. There is a tragic, yes, and a pathetic, side.

There is the side which concerns a fully authenticated and empowered law-maker, conceded to be an honest man, probably actuated by the most humane of principles, taking the position that anyonejournalist or no-who opens the mouth that is in his face to protest the ethics of Judah in government is necessarily a Jew-Baiter, and that he who would indict a whole people for the acts of a few is a splosher in Hatred and ought to be squelched. Then there is the side of the purely gullible Gentile in high place, who stoutly opposes Communism and wants to see it chopped from the American scene-bough, trunk, and root-yet who is no more willing to study into what Communism truly is than the ladies of the Women's Christian Temperance Union of a bygone era showed themselves disposed to study the question of the cause for intemperance and why, from the ethico-economic standpoint, husbands came home plastered 38 38

Because—and here is the tragic part—if Alfred had truly studied into what Communism is, and knew the origin and avowed purposes of it as expertly as some of us who are sacrificing our fortunes and our lives to exterminate it, he would make the jolting discovery that we are quite logical and rational men to whom the so-called "baiting" of our fellowmen is just as repulsive and disgusting as it may appear to conscientious congressmen from Connecticut, and that those who call us "Jew" baiters, or any other kind of baiters, are but flaunting their own abysmal ignorance of something that is rotting the core of our culture \*\*

Sane men, mature men, never quarrel with ignorance. They attempt as they can to propound, sensibly and patiently, why they hold their deeper views.

This attitude and letter of Alfred's are instances in a thousand such expressions, declaiming to the universe: "I want to do the right thing, but I propose to base my conduct on what I prefer to believe, not on such facts as may be fetched to my attention damaging my convictions and upsetting my prejudices" & &

So, first-off, here are one or two questions which in

all fairness I—as a widely-castigated "Jew-Baiter"—would put to the Alfred Phillipses of our country, in Congress or out of it, and beg that they consider them even though they fancy they hate the one who asks them . . .

WHY in the name of all the gods of ancient Rome and little oysters at a church supper should I, or anyone, ever imagine or anticipate that there would be the slightest enjoyment, satisfaction, profit, or enticement, in the un-Christian employment of "baiting" Jews?

What hallowed or unhallowed purposes do the Alfred Phillipses of the nation imagine the men like Pelley bethink they are serving, that they turn from highly successful secular pursuits and walk out of their life-courses to pick fights with Hebrews or incite non-Hebrews to race retaliations?

Fools might do it, to gratify wanton mischiefs, but in all sober comity I think that even the Alfred Phillipses will concede that men who attain to positions of moral influence in great States by their own premeditated efforts can scarcely be classed with mischievous imbeciles. Combative temperaments who want to satisfy private grudges might do it, but first it must be determined whether such persons possess such vengeful temperaments. That, of course, re-

solves itself to personal acquaintanceships and the Alfred Philipses never go to the trouble of forming such acquaintanceships, for that again might disillusion them, baffle them, injure their prejudices, and leave them at a loss.

There is no adjustment so painful as calling a man a Great Hater at a distance and finding out on intimacy with him, that he is wont to feel tears at the slightest injustice and would throw away his life to see mankind's wrongs righted.

It is far easier to take the puerile course of writing a man and snapping: "I want nothing whatever to do with you!" than to invite him to come in and talk it over and learn what his rational reasons may be for taking whatever attitudes he does.

People like the Alfred Phillipses assume that men like myself have no rational reasons for the attitudes we take or the policies we pursue; we dive off stupidly from the platform of Christian tolerance into a miasma of fulsome loathing and there we muck around beastily and blindly, calling to society to jump in and wallow with us.

I said before that I estimated Alfred as a conscientious man who had admirable scruples against exercising injustice against the least of God's creatures.

[I However, I nowhere make the statement that I

can consider Alfred to possess any great learning or endowed by Providence or his ancestors with outstanding acumen.

If Alfred had been endowed by Providence or his ancestors with outstanding acumen, it would occur to him to ask himself quietly somewhat along this line—

"Why is it, all of a sudden, that whole hemispheres are rising up en masse against this peculiar race, the Jews, and men whose names fill pages in encyclopedias step forth in condemnation of this people as a nation? It is all too big, too fundamental, to attribute to caprice of certain Fascist leaders. May there not be much about this people about which, specifically, I have never inquired? In other words, am I truly as erudite in these points at issue as these persons I excoriate as baiters of Judaists? And if I am not, would it not be the acme of good taste to possess myself of all the knowledge on which they seem to base their opinions, before passing judgment on their motives or behaviors?"

Of course, men who sit themselves down and reason so, privately, and then get them up and make the same studies as those whom they criticize, eventually end up in the ranks of the latter.

Perhaps the Alfred Phillipses know this subcon-

sciously. Perhaps they fear with a great fear to make discoveries that will shatter their prejudices, ruin their political careers, or jeopardize their fortunes as they square their acts with Conscience. At any rate, this thing is true—

No honest, conscientious, and intelligent non-Jew has ever been known to go to the bottom of the Jewish Question without coming back an aroused, angered, or belligerent antagonist of Judah, bitterly opposed to the aggressions of this race in the arena of society! The shift in attitude is vigorously automatic.

This is declaring in essence that the man who touts for pro-Hebrew tolerance either has a strain of Jewish blood himself, which he is secretly defending, or he is a sincere but hapless ignoramus—at least his learning is tragically shallow or he lacks the perception to draw conclusions from the obvious.

Brutal though such an indictment may be, the man who sets himself out to go to the bottom of the Jewish Question—leaving every preconceived prejudice aside—suddenly stumbles on devastating facts.

(I First, he discovers academically and theologically that the Ten Tribes of Israel whom Moses was reputed to have led from the Wilderness, and of whom Jehovah would have made a Chosen People, were an entirely different set of folks from the rapacious

modern Jews throughout the countries of the world today—and the rapacious modern Jews throughout the countries of the world today are thin and frail offshoots of those Mosaic nomads. The former have preposteriously usurped the prerogatives and traditions distinguishing the latter in world history, and used them to set up an unhallowed racial oligarchy demanding special economic privileges from the remainder of mankind.

Second, he discovers that Talmudic theology is not a religion in any sense of the word, and has no license to class itself with any of those spiritual philosophies that have put inspiration and ethical attainment into human society. It is merely a collection of writings and commentories of megalomaniacal Jewish prelates seeking to gain temporal ascendancy over all other orthodoxies.

Third, he discovers that conductors of orderly government in every era as far back as written history carries, have had to contend with the disruptive agitations of this peculiar fragment of the human race and wherever it has gained to arbitrary dictatorship, economic and political ruin has become immediate and devastating.

Fourth, he discovers that this peculiar fragment of racial megalomaniacs openly admits—even boasts—that permitted time enough it will pull all fellow

racials down to impoverishment and slavery and that an understanding exists among the modern leaders of this people to duplicate in all countries of the earth what has been temporarily successful in Russia since 1919 \*

As the biased student probes deeper and deeper into this racial cancer on internationality and hears from the bearded mouths of generations of Jews themselves exactly what they are working to do to the other religions and governments of the world with the instinctive if not tacit approval of all individual members of that race he first feels astonishment, then disgust, then alarm, then righteous indignation, then the awful urge to action!

He begins to discern with what satanic cleverness these machinations are being accomplished under the guise of religious and racial tolerance, the control of purse and press, the insidious infilteration of Judaists in political governments, the vast propaganda of vicious deception that is immediately waged when a given set of nationals suddenly "tumble" to the whole of it and ostracize these mischief-workers before their State collapses.

"In the name of Christianity, civic probity, and the welfare of our grandchildren, something must be done about this cabal at once!" he finally explodes.

[ "No, no, that's Jew-baiting!" cries the crafty

12

rabbinate, knowing the Christian's vulnerable heel of tolerance-sentimentality.

"It's Fascism!" parrots the hoodwinked trade-unionist, taught to believe that Communism has been born to procure him his rights.

"It's Naziism!" screams the German or Russian Jew, resolutely stopped by Germans from ruining the Fatherland

And out from a desk in the Congressional Office Building in Washington, D. C., Congressmen like Alfred N. Phillips, Jr., mail trenchant letters to North Carolina journalists: "Don't you dare send me any more of your papers enlightening me on the pro-Aryan side of this cabal. I have made up my mind that any criticism of what is going on is monstrous, that a whole people can't be blamed for the deeds of a few," and adding in effect, "men who call Gentile attention to the racial boastings of these Hebrews I consider pernicious citizens whose writings should be suppressed."

Of course Alfred is against Communism. Most decent people are. Alfred even goes so far as to state that no one is more vigorously opposed to Communism than himself, as his record will confirm. But Alfred—due to his lack of erudition—admits to this paradox:

"I'm against Communism, but likewise I'm against any agitation against its Jews."

At once the person not inhibited by Alfred's tragtc lack of information as to what Communism truly is about, wants to ask this sentimentalist Representative how in the name of sense he can be both?

"Do you actually know what Communism is?" he asks the Alfred Phillipses hypothetically.

"Certainly we know what Communism is," they appear to retort by their attitude.

"Very well, what is it?"

"Communism is an attempt being made by leaders of the world's proletariat to get the earth's working-folks a better share in the world's wealth, but striving to achieve it by ill-advised violence and the over-throw of so-called capitalistic governments."

"That's Communism?"

"Yes!"

"That's all you know about it?"

"What more remains to know?"

"Who first projected Communism?"

"Karl Marx."

"Do you know how Karl Marx defined Communism?" & &

"I imagine-"

"Never mind imagining. Do you know?"

"No. How did he define it?"

"Karl Marx—whose real name was Heinrich Mordecai, a Jew—defined Communism as: A movement to utilize the downtrodden workers of the world to emancipate world Jewry from any further domination by the Gentiles and put the Jews in control of all Gentile world governments! What do you say to that? The author of Communism should have known what it was about, don't you think?"
"I never heard of Marx making such statement."

"No? Then it just goes to show how tragically unacquainted you are with the man's life and purposes."
"How can you prove that Karl Marx said any such thing?" & &

"By apprizing you the exact time and place where he said it, and under what conditions, and to whom, and for what objectives. You'll agree that ninety percent of the leaders of Communism today are Iews, won't you?"

"I'll agree that Communism seems to have more Jewish endorsers than persons of any other race."
"Do you actually think that's happened by accident?"

"What do you mean?"

"Has it never occurred to you that deep in behind the promotion of Communism, some ulterior scheme might be at work that Jews enmasse are approving because it'll land them something which Gentiles don't suspect?" "I refuse to accredit it!"

"What's your refusal to accredit it got to do with the facts?"

"Jews couldn't be so brainless!"

"Do you consider Justice Louis D. Brandeis particularly brainless?"

"Of course not. Why drag him into it?"

"Because he says quite bluntly that the Jews are after exactly such ends. Have you read his book, The Jewish Problem and How to Solve It?"
"No."

"Of course you haven't. If you had, and a hundred similar confirmations out of the mouths of Jews themselves, you'd realize that some of us who love our country and our religion have every license to defend both against this admitted and boasted cabal without becoming candidates for the castigation of 'Jew-baiters' That's what you called me—because I've familiaried myself with what the Jews themselves have conceded over twenty years!"

"You're making some strong and dangerous statements" & &

"At least I'm making them out of knowledge! Can you say the same in your own case?"

"I'm saying you can't indict a whole people for the acts of a few."

"But Jews subscribe to those acts as their own!

Don't you think, before throwing epithets and opprobriums of Jew-Baiters at wiser men who have made a deep and unbiased study of this matter, that you'd better apprise yourself of what Jews themselves declare about the naked truth of it?"

Of course, sooner or later in such challengings, the Congressmen Phillipses crawl back into the nice warm comfortable bed of sentimentality.

"We know a lot of good Jews who are firmly opposed to Communism!"

"Precisely. And I know a lot of bad Gentiles who find it profitable to promote it and bank the pence. But look at it in this light, Alfred: You know that yellow fever is carried by a certain species of mosquito, don't you?"

"Certainly."

"Suppose you went down into the Canal Zone, or lower into the yellow-fever tropics, and a mosquito lighted on your wrist. Suppose he unsheathed his lance and made ready to draw your blood. Tell me, would you withhold your blow fearing that after all he might be one of the harmless, non-fever-carrying breed? Your life would be at stake. The insect is on your wrist. If he puts down his plunger, the germs of fatal yellow fever may in that instant contaminate your blood. Of course there are millions of 'good'

mosquitoes. But isn't it a case of antagonism between you as a human being and mosquitoes as a

species of fever-carrying insect?"

"Jews aren't insects. They're fellow human beings."
"That's what you say. But suppose the very Talmud from which they take their 'religion' says otherwise?"
"What do you mean, says otherwise?"

"Don't you know that the Talmud teaches that only Jews are human beings, that God has created Gentiles in the form of human cattle, purposely to serve them?" \* \*

"There you go Jew-baiting again!"

"For pity's sake, be reasonable! Why don't you investigate and find out what they preach?"

"I want more facts than a few chance opinions of eccentric individuals."

"Will you peruse the facts, if I place them in your hands?" & &

"How can you do that?"

"I can put in your hands a small book—say about two hundred pages—that lists and documents every important utterance by Jews, big or little, irrefutably attesting that this thing called Communism isn't any gesture of the proletariat striving to get its rights. Instead its a plan and a program carefully thought out by the leaders of world Jewry to use the poor dumb trade-unionists to fight Judah's battle and pull

Gentile nations down to extinction. I can give you the whole story of the origin and rise of Communism, factually documenting that Communism is naught but racial Jewry in action! But I warn you beforehand, if once you read this book, the world will never appear quite the same to you again."

"Who has written such a book?"

"A New Yorker by the name of Ernest Elmhurst. He spent three years getting his facts together and searched the libraries of two continents for his data." "What's the name of the book?"

"The World Hoax!"

"Who published it?"

"I published it. My Liberation concern down in North Carolina."

"When?"

"It's just left the bindery."

"You mean to say you've brought out a book that attests from the mouths of Jews themselves that Communism and world Jewry are one and the same?"

"I have! For the first time anywhere the thing has been done. And too many copies have been distributed for it to be halted or suppressed. Communism, as such, is a gigantic world hoax. Letters like Congressman Phillips's declare that no one is more zealous than they are in combatting Communism.

But how can any man combat anything without knowing the origin or identity of the thing that he's battling? I challenge them to read this book, and then write me afterward as to whether or not they still consider me a Jew-baiter. Have they got the backbone to inspect the vicious facts?"



OW I have never met Alfred N. Phillips, Jr., personally. But I have encountered thousands of good people up and down this land who have cried at me in protest: "You can't indict a whole race. Communism isn't necessarily Jewish

merely because a lot of down-trodden Jews think that it will better their state. If Communism is world Jewry in action, as you claim, show us the proof of it!" For five years I have had to carry around in documents or in the library of my mind a hundred scraps of desultory attestment, uncollated, taken at random from such sources as I had tapped.

But one afternoon back in November, of last year, the postman dumped a packet of bulky manuscript on my office desk. I uncut the string and took out about 200 sheets of typewritten material segregated into biographies of the past or present leaders of Communism. I started to read them.

I was upset, elated, dismayed, alarmed, exercised as I had never been exercised since I launched The Silver Legion. A sort of miracle had happened

Unsolicited by me, this erudite author had probed irrefutably back into all written history, into the private lives and utterances of the men who had foisted the curse of Communism upon this world, into the writings and boastings of hundreds upon hundreds of Jews in every state and strata of society both in America and abroad. He had put into a crisp, readable, shocking, invincible volume the unchallengeable declarations—both by word and deed—that the leaders of Bolshevia really cared not a whit for bettering the condition of the workingman, and said so quite cynically. What they were doing was inciting the proletariat to rise up and overthrow all Gentile institutions so that Judah might erect a peculiarly Jewish State on the debris of the ruins.

Here was a book that I knew thousands of my Silver Legionnaires had haplessly longed for when confronted by the challenge on ten thousand occasions: "You claim Communism is Jewish. Very well, prove it!"

ERNEST ELMHURST IN HIS BOOK THE WORLD HOAX HAS PROVED IT! He had proved it so stupendously that even I, delving in similar matters over nearly two decades, was left a bit breathless and badly perturbed.

What would happen when this manuscript in printed form reached the hands of the Christian-Gentile public?

One thing I knew: It would halt this silly, childish business of naming me a "Jew-Baiter" by recounting in compact, readable, inexorable form what I had been hectically trying to present to my fellowman since this era of misery was inducted upon us.



O I come to the answer to the question that I have made the title to this brochure:

"What is a Jew-Baiter?"

Well, my answer is this: A Jew-Baiter is a sincerely conscientious and patriotic Gentile who tries to

acquaint his hoodwinked Aryan fellows with the facts which Ernest Elmhurst has embodied concisely and unanswerably in *The World Hoax*, but hasn't the book and can't find the words!

Otherwise the term is a piece of childish slander. Men in their senses, in this enlightened day and age, don't find pleasure or profit in persecuting other men for the sheer sake of doing it.

But there are unchallengeable utterances made from the mouths of the acting leaders of this race which all non-Jews should know about.

Which is the better thing: to know these things and keep them suppressed while millions of earnest but baffled Christian people are plunged into the pit of economic misery—perchance made the bloody victims of Bolshevia's machine-guns—or give this nation the unvarnished truth and let a hundred million citizens protect themselves as they may, with the truth in their possession?

I take the position that two vast philosophies are now engaged in struggle for survival in this world of the present. One is the Christian philosophy of Live and Let Live, with its recognition of rugged individualism and adherence to the Bill of Rights in the Federal Constitution. The other is secretive and conniving Judaism with its erotic and introspective avowal: We alone are favorites of God Almighty and all other races are but cattle, created by divine Providence to become our slaves and chattels. These two vast philosophies must fight their Armageddon.

It is bigger than the individual involved, bigger than the isolated Jew or the isolated Gentile.

SO I went ahead and published Ernest Elmhurst's book, and it is now ready for delivery into your hands. Understand me, it is no flimsy pamphlet stuffed with anti-Jewish propaganda, slammed together any old way to commercialize race hatred

¶ The first edition of The World Hoax is an exquisitely-done volume of two hundred pages, printed on durable rag-paper with artistic margins and deckle-edges, comparable to anything ever turned out by my printing house, the whole bound in artistic leatherette covers with title in gold on the back. It is more than a volume to read for information. It is

almost an encyclopedia and reference book on Anti-Communism, published thus durably to offset the usage it will get from multiple readers.

Here are the documented biographies of Karl Marx, Lenin, Trotsky, Litvinoff, Bela Kun, and Stalin, containing many facts never before recorded in print in this hemisphere. Then follow six more chapters detailing to the minutest degree the utterances and activities of great Jews on three continents, showing exactly how they are steering Communism and what they—up till recently—believed its achievement could be made.

Understand me, this book is *printed*. It is done, finished, bound, now being delivered as fast as the demands can be handled in due order of business. It is not being financed by advance cash with orders. The financing has been done. The book is in existence. Your copy is at this moment lying upon a bindery table in North Carolina, waiting for you to send in the order for it.

In the back of it is an index, the first time that one has been included in a deluxe Pelley Book. By referring to this index, you can turn instantly to the page and quotation you may need, when next you are challenged as to your statements in an argument \$\mathbb{G}\$ All in all, this Terrible Book stands uniquely

alone. There is nothing like it at this moment in existence. Beautiful as a printed work beyond compare, its text is catastrophic. As it begins to get widely circulated, it may easily cause an upheaval in Jewry & &

Thousands of "good Jews" are going to be called to the indictment: Explain away these attestments if you can! And other thousands are going to cry: "Our leaders are betraying us into a pogrom!" And they must proceed against those leaders and overthrow them or their screech may be correct.

Use the Order Card herewith, and get YOUR copy of this book without delay. Advance indications already have it that *The World Hoax* is going into printing after printing, altering the aspect of Jewry in North America. But time for manufacture must necessarily elapse between editions.

Here is information that YOU cannot get along without, in your fight against murderous anti-Christian Communism. Conscientious Congressmen like Alfred N. Phillips, Jr., cannot get along without it, either 38.38

It will be interesting to see how anti-Jewish Alfred becomes if he has sporting blood enough in him to give this irrefutable volume an unbiased reading from cover to cover!

## "THE WORLD HOAX"

is a book of 230 pages, printed on durable antique stock, from special types, and with ample margins that made for easy reading.

It will come to you by express in a plain wrapper, charges prepaid, and serve as an adornment for your reading table as well as an irrefutable reference volume whenever anyone challenges you on the Jewishness of Communism.

There is an index of 28 pages, making it easy to quickly locate names or topics, with the result you will have an encyclopedia of the Communist menace and its history.

AN INVALUABLE HANDBOOK.

## THE PRICE IS ONLY \$3 A COPY

Make out your cheques or postal money orders—

THE PELLEY PUBLISHERS
Box 1776
Asheville, N. C.