

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendieton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four, languages for the most prestigous academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congolds unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to ourry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious — whether by its technology or its fecundity — from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50

376 pp., pb. ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

TRIAL

BY JEWRY

Chapter Three

By David McCalden page 25

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4,00

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: TOLD YOU SO!, page 1; THE MASSES, page 10. BOOK REVIEW, *Pearl Harbor in neuer Sicht*, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 17. A KOSHER-KILLING SUGGESTION, by Allan Callahan, page 37. BOOK REVIEW, *Days of Remembrance / A Department of Defense Guide for Commemorative Observance*, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 39. THE NORTH-ERN PRESS: A PROPHECY, page 45; DUKAKIS THREAT, PAGE 47; WHY NATIONAL SOCIALISM?, PAGE 50. LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, page 55.

VOL. 16 - NO. 1

SEPTEMBER 1988

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA--Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only
FIRST CLASS-USA
FIRST CLASS-all other countries
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America
Middle East, Far East, So. Africa

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	,																		•			\$ 22.00
50 COPIES														,								\$ 90.00
100 COPIES																,				,		\$ \$150.00
500 COPIES		,	•					,	,							,	,	,			,	\$ 600.00
1000 COPIES	,	,		,				,		•,				,								\$ 900.00
300000000000000000000000000000000000000	 		 8		 	 	880			200	 eee	 	~~	 	 			 ~~	 	-	 	 ******

FREEDOM OF SPEECH-FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

TOLD YOU SO!

In June 1986 Liberty Bell published the obvious explanation of an incident that had occurred at a chemical plant built and operated by the Union Carbide Corporation in Bhopal in north central India. Fumes of methyl isocyanate escaped from the plant and killed something more than a thousand low-grade Hindus,¹ who were living in normal squalor in the environs of the plant. The incident was, in itself, of no great importance. It was of the same scale of significance as the incident which occurred in India a little later, when more than two hundred Hindus were killed or severely injured in the wreck of an express train that was dynamited by some of the Dravidian pests who are now commonly called Tamils from the language that most of them speak. That

1. The word 'Hindu' is the adjectival form of the Persian name for India (whatever its boundaries at a given time), and therefore properly designates a native inhabitant of India, and is used in that sense in all European languages except in the Énglish spoken and written in India and in Britain, where there is an attempt to restrict it to the inhabitants of India who practice 'Hinduism.' the body of customs and superstitions that are the basis of most of the almost innumerable religious cults now prevalent in India. It would thus exclude Moslems and, if used strictly, would exclude Sikhs, Buddhists, Christians, atheists, etc. Some British writers further restrict the word to Aryans of northern India, the Hindustan of Eighteenth-Century writers, because the Persian Hindū was derived, through the Prakrits, from Sanskrit Sindhu, 'The River,' i.e., the Indus. (There are still some Aryans in India, and millions of Hindus exhibit identifiable fractions or traces of Aryan blood, but how large a percentage of the inhabitants of any given region are predominantly Aryan is a question for someone who wishes to devote a lifetime to assembling data for a preliminary estimate.) The use of 'Hindu' to designate the natives of all India within its present borders is the only way of conveniently avoiding the possible ambiguity of 'Indian,' which now has a second meaning, unfortunately given it by Columbus's geographical blunder and the linguistic fecklessness of our people when they occupied North America. It is now much too late to correct that misnomer.

incident was given a paragraph in some newspapers in this country and ignored by many more.

What made the incident in Bhopal noteworthy was that it was magnified into a great disaster by the government of India, the *New York Times*, and other jewspapers. That, naturally, set our "Liberal intellectuals" to squawking and quacking. That was only normal, for, needless to say, "Liberal intellectuals" bear to the society of which they are members the relation that cancerous cells bear to the human body they are destroying.

The government of India tried to exploit the incident to fleece Union Carbide of more than two billion dollars. And the fact that Union Carbide was, like Texaco and American Telephone & Telegraph, one of the very few great corporations that are still largely owned by Americans was sufficient to excite the animosity of our alien and domestic enemies.

Liberty Bell published (p. 49) the simple chemical formula which, as was obvious to anyone with a moderate knowledge of organic chemistry, was the only possible explanation of the emission of deadly fumes from the plant at Bhopal, and, given the design of the plant, indicated that the only possible cause of that emission was sabotage by some of the Hindu employees in the plant. It further indicated the nice balance of probability between the two forces, the government of India and the Wall-Street pirates who were trying to capture Union Carbide, that profited greatly from that sabotage at precisely the time at which it occurred.

To defend itself against claims that are, *per se*, so improbable as to be virtually absurd, Union Carbide hired, for \$700,000, an independent organization of investigators, Arthur D. Little, Inc., to investigate the incident. The report of this agency is summarized in the issue of *Chemical & Engineering News* for 4 July 1988, in an article written by a journalist named Wil Lepkowski, who, in the vulgar phrase, leans over backward to avoid ridiculing the Indian government's version of the incident, manufactured by that government's tame Hindu scientists, who obediently lick the dirty hands that feed them. But to no avail. It is impossible to make moderately well educated readers believe that water flows uphill.

The report shows how the sabotage was carried out. A hose was connected to a pipe leading to the tank and "1000 to 2000 pounds of water" was forced into the tank, precipiating the chemical reaction shown by the formula printed in *Liberty Bell*. The

2 Liberty Bell / September 1988

quantity of water is somewhat less than the amount conjecturally estimated in *Liberty Bell*, but our common-sense reconstruction of the act of sabotage is completely verified.

The report assumes that the perpetrator of the sabotage, who has evidently been identified, was a "disgruntled employee," a Hindu who was so ignorant of elementary chemistry that he thought pumping water into the tank would merely ruin its contents for use in the manufacture of pesticides, without foreseeing the inevitable exothermic reaction that cracked the tank and vented the lethal fumes it had generated. This kindly explanation does not exclude the possibility that the employee was made "disgruntled" by a fee from one or the other of the two entities who profited so enormously from the sabotage that occurred precisely at the time it served their interests.

The tactful attribution of the crime to a "loner" is in keeping with the technique of what is called "damage control" in modern politics, and hard-headed observers will be reluctant to dismiss as mere coincidence the fact that, as was noted in Liberty Bell, the sabotage opportunely occured just in time to permit exciting the Hindu public with rabid anti-American agitation and thus distracting attention from the flagrantly illegal usurpation of power by Rajiv Ghandi, the present ruler of India. It may not be irrelevant to add that if Ghandi and his gang did arrange the sabotage to facilitate his coup d'état, they were only acting in conformity with the bimillenial tradition of Hindu political science. It would have been a praiseworthy application of the *Realpolitik* expounded in the famous Sanskrit treatise on the science of government, the Arthasāstra. It would have matched the ruses of the great Prime Ministers whose acumen and sagacity are lauded in the dramatic and narrative literature of the early centuries of our era (e.g., Yaugandharayana, Canakya, Yogakarandaka, et al.)

Although the report attributes the actual sabotage to a "loner," it makes it clear that immediately after the exothermic reaction and the emission of the lethal fumes, a conspiracy of Hindu employees went into action to conceal the cause. Many pages of the routinely kept logs of the plant's many operations were torn out and destroyed; others were altered; and some were forged. The government of India immediately went into action to prevent the officials of Union Carbide from discovering the cause; they were for many months denied access to their own plant and prevented from interrogating the employees. The government at once began an attempt to shakedown Union Carbide for more

than two billion dollars by instituting fantastic legal proceedings in its notoriously corrupt courts.²

Union Carbide is not blameless. With American sentimentality and generosity, its officers began by offering compensation for the Hindu victims of their Hindu employees, and even now are offering \$350,000,000 to settle the outrageous claims. They seem not to have learned the folly of trying to buy off blackmailers and extortionists. They even imagine or pretend that factual evidence could affect the decisions of the Hindu courts, which will naturally find whatever their government wants. It would have been more reasonable simply to write off their Indian subsidiary as an expensive lesson on the folly of American businessmen who, deluded by "internationalist" twaddle, invest money in countries that are not in the Western world. The inhabitants of those countries inevitably hate our civilization in general, to which they add the contempt that is specially felt for Americans throughout the world.

The Hindu offensive against Union Carbide is motivated by a particular animosity against Americans, in addition to greed and the political utility of agitation about the responsibility of Union Carbide for an incident utimately caused by the requirement that the corporation employ only Hindus in the plant. Americans, by their grotesque selfrighteousness and their nasty meddling in the internal affairs of every nation they corrupt with their "foreign aid," have made themselves both despised and hated by all of the so-called "third world."

THE OTHER SIDE OF THE CASE

There is, however, something to be said for India. The Hindus have a valid reason for identifying the United States as their deadly enemy, bent on the destruction of their country and the

2. The hopeless corruption of Hindu government, including the courts, and of society in general is admitted in moments of candor by intelligent Hindus, who attribute it to overpopulation, which makes the struggle for existence so acute that it induces in individuals a ruthless and unscrupulous egotism that is not moderated by the accepted but ignored 'spiritual values' of the various religions. Certainly this universal corruption was simply a fact of life in India after (if not before) the Moslem conquest that began in A.D. 1001; it was interrupted by the British conquest, occupation, and government of India, but after the wicked colonialists, with their odd Western notions about honesty and justice, were expelled, the native manner of life was naturally resumed. enslavement of its multiracial population. And there is really no excuse for Americans who do not recognize that valid reason.

In 1961, when the Soviets judged that the time had come to revive and carry to completion the old Czarist ambition to conquer India, the American end of the Washington-Moscow Axis was charged with the duty of bleeding the tax-paying animals in the United States to finance the construction of a highway to permit the rapid occupation of Afghanistan by Soviet troops. The engineers of the U.S. Army were accordingly sent to Afghanistan to build through some of the most mountainous and difficult terrain in the world a broad modern highway that extends for three hundred miles from the Soviet border to Kabul, the capital of Afghanistan, which is not far from the southeastern border of that county, and whence a road, built by British engineers in 1880, runs through the Khyber Pass to Peshawar, which was the provincial capital of what was called the North-West Frontier Province when India was under British rule.

This American work for the Communist Empire was carried out in effective secrecy from 1961 to 1965, when the work was completed. It was done by American engineers at the expense of the American boobs, who were not told for what they were paying. Some information about the work naturally reached Americans and was reported in some of the publications of the tiny minority of 'Fascists' and 'Neo-Nazis,' who have not yet been cured of the vice of thinking. Such publications are ignored by well-trained boobs, and the disclosure was officially covered by the usual chatter about international do-gooding and some nonsense about promoting trade and harmony among the "democratic peoples of Asia."

Soviet control of Afghanistan, as of the United States, began with massive internal subversion carried out by trained agents and dim-wits who become intoxicated with drivel about "democracy" and "welfare." The stupid Afghans permitted overthrow of their monarchy in 1973, and Americans who heard about it were naturally delighted by the spread of their own mortal disease. The "democracy," of course, was merely preparation for a revolutionary *coup d'état*, complete with almost enough bloodshed to content "Liberal intellectuals," and the assumption of power by the Revolutionary Council of the People's Democratic Party, which immediately concluded a treaty of "alliance" with the Soviet. No "Liberal intellectual" in this country could possibly object to such delicious progress in "human relations." Reac-

4 Liberty Bell / September 1988

tionaries in Afghanistan were less pleased, and the first contingent of Soviet troops entered Afghanistan in December 1979.

Since the lid was on in the daily press, the average American could be excused for being unaware of his contribution to the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan until March 1980, when Ron Paul, a Congressman from Texas, publicly disclosed the facts and even exhibited photographs of sections of the highway. For this and other indiscretions, he was soon thereafter removed from Congress by the Jews.

After 1980, however, the American population, if still capable of taking an interest in their own affairs, should have known what Mr. Paul had made public knowledge, including the use of the highway for the purpose for which it was designed by the Washington-Moscow Axis.

Soviet troops, moving over the highway, very quickly consolidated occupation of Kabul (begun by air-borne detachments) and of all the strips of territory adjacent to the principal highway and the five tributary roads that had been improved and modernized by our Army engineers under the supervision of the Soviets, who were coöperating in "aiding" the Afghans.

In the United States, there was, of course, jabbering, most of it hypocritical, about "aggression" and the "surprising" Soviet invasion, but the American boobs never saw a connection between that invasion and the highway they had built in preparation for it. In its issue for the week of 19 January 1987, the *Spotlight* quoted Congressman Paul and made clear the relation between the highway and the invasion, but despite the comparatively large circulation of that American periodical, the article made no impression on the public. It is a truism that you can lead horses to water, and Americans to facts, but can't make the former drink or the latter think.

The boobs are now being told that the Soviet invasion was a failure, and some journalists with alcoholic imaginations are comparing it to the planned defeat of the United States in Vietnam. Hogwash!

It is a well-known fact, made especially obvious by the difficulties encountered by Germany in Jugo-Slavia, that a modern mechanized army, even with complete control of the air, is hopelessly handicapped when it encounters large-scale and determined resistance from guerrillas in mountainous territory. Thus irregular forces of Afghans and other inhabitants of Afghanistan were able to continue resistance to the Soviet occupation, and eventually the Jewish Gold Dust Twins, Reagan and Gorbachev, arranged a withdrawal of Soviet troops that will permit the Soviet government of Afghanistan to "restore peace" and peacefully liquidate the remaining opposition through a sequence of "compromises" and "reforms."³ The tax-paying animals in the United States will naturally have to finance "foreign aid" to "preserve democracy" in Afghanistan, as they have done so often in many other countries—always with the planned result of consolidating Communist control over the victim of American "aid."

This joint Soviet-American enterprise should effectively end all troublesome resistance in Afghanistan in two or three years. Needless to say, the Soviets are not interested in what may go on in the back country: all that they want is a secure line of communication and supply through Afghanistan, untroubled by harassment from the natives.

Now there are intelligent Hindus, and they remember that throughout the Nineteenth Century the Russian Empire coveted India and was prevented from moving through Afghanistan into India only by the British, who, in the Second Afghan War (1878-80), sent their army, unhampered by mechanization, into Afghanistan and brought that country effectively under British control, which lasted without interruption until the British succumbed to the suicidal mania that now afflicts our race and began to liquidate their empire, leaving the peoples of India to their own devices.

The present situation is one that gives intelligent Hindus dire forbodings. The independence of India was naturally celebrated by massacres on a scale so very large that it was noteworthy even in this age of "democracy," and could be mitigated only by the creation of what is now Pakistan as a refuge for the Moslems in India. It is true that Pakistan is now a buffer state between India and Afghanistan, but that is scant protection, since Pakistan would not resist a Soviet invasion, but, if offered acceptable terms, would gladly coöperate in putting the Hindus in their place and thus avenging various acts of aggression by the Indian government, especially in the Vale of Kashmir.

Intelligent Hindus, of which there must be some in the government, have long been apprehensive of a Soviet invasion. They, for example, prohibit by law the exportation of detailed

3. For a drastically condensed summary of why this is inevitable, see the appendix to this article.

maps of any region in India where such maps, usually made bythe British, were published, and refrain from making such maps of areas for which no published maps are available. The official explanation is fear of Pakistan, but that would be absurd, if it were not a pretense to avoid naming the Soviet.

Intelligent Hindus perceive, as Americans do not, that the "withdrawal" arranged by the Reagan-Gorbachev team is merely an application of the old Russian technique, which antedates the Jewish ("Communist") capture of that country, of taking one step backwards before taking two (or three or four) steps forward.

India will not be occupied by the Soviets in 1989 or 1990, but, unless the enfeebled and seemingly doomed Aryan nations of the West by some miracle recover their will to live, India will be annexed to the Soviet Empire before 2000. Intelligent Hindus foresee that, and their resentment is very naturally directed against the Americans, who are the most useful servants of the Washington-Moscow axis of the Judaeo-Communist Empire, although they are too stupid to know it.

Given this fact, Union Carbide was a victim of the political, as well as the economic, policy of our rulers in Washington, and we can understand the animus of the Hindus against that unfortunate corporation.

APPENDIX

To think about the present situation in Afghanistan you must bear in mind two crucial facts:

1. The geographical name of the great range of mountains that dominate the central part of that country, the Hindu Kush, should not mislead you into thinking that there now is, or ever has been, any considerable number of Hindus in that country. The name became fixed in modern usage at a time when much or all of Afghanistan was under the same rule as much or all of northern India, probably in the time o the Mogul Empire.⁴

2. The Afghans are a minority ir the country to which they have given their name. The Afghans, also called Pathans when

4. The origin of the name may be triced back to the Classical geographers who, by analogy, called the mountain range the Caucasus Indicus, adopting a name that seems to have been given it by the Greeks at the time of Alexander's conquest of Bactria, which had been a part of the Persian Empire. The alternative name, Paropamisus, is probably a native name that passed through Old Persian to Greek; it is not, as sometimes stated, derived from Sanskrit. they reside in the northwestern part of what was British India, are a racial amalgam that includes both Aryan and Semitic blood, with contributions from quite a few of the many other races that have passed through what is now called Afghanistan, perhaps originally added to some of the tribes of natives the Persians found in it when they brought it for the first time under civilized rule.

The language of the Afghans is Pushtu, which is Indo-European and has an affinity with Persian, from which it differs so much, however, that it is unintelligible to the majority of inhabitants of Afghanistan, who, whatever their ethnic origin, now speak Persian. In recent times, the Afghan rulers tried to make the other peoples learn Pushtu, but with little success.

Some of the Afghans, including the most fanatically Moslem sectaries, believe they are the "lost tribes" of the Jews, and some British military men, noting the Afghans' genius for dissimulation and treachery, accepted that claim, for which there is no historical foundation, except the general fact of the Jews' infiltration of every land in which money could be taken from the natives. Other Afghans trace their ancestry to the ancient Egyptians.⁵ These genealogies were invented since the Moslem conquest and perhaps as late as the Sixteenth Century.

The Afghans seem never to have kept a chronicle or history of their own, and the various accounts of their ancestry must be late myths, invented to take the place of records they never kept and oral traditions they forgot. They had no recollection of the time when, under the rule of the Persians and then of the Greeks, Bactria "of the thousand cities" was a great and prosperous nation with a high culture, nor yet of the subsequent times when Buddhism, influenced by the Greek residue, attained in Bactria its finest expression in art, nor yet of the land's progressive decline into barbarism, which was well advanced even before it was successively invaded by the "White" Huns, the Turks, and the Arabs. They seem to have no tradition that antedates the Mogul Empire, which was formed in the Sixteenth Century.

The Soviet invasion has effectively demoralized the Afghans and destroyed their social organization, which gave to the country what precarious stability it once had, thus leaving them

5. By an odd coincidence, the ancestry of the Afghan hound, a breed of dog that has recently become fashionable in some circles, has been traced to ancient Egypt.

vulnerable to the accumulated resentments of the seven or eight peoples they dominated, including Usbeck Tartars, the Mongolian Hazararas, and at least two tribes of Turkic origin.

The religion of all the peoples of Afghanistan, except a few insignificant "pagans" and the modern votaries of the Marxist cult, is Islam; the majority are Sunnis, but there are large and vigorous groups of Shi'ites. Ethnic resentments will therefore be compounded with irreconcilable religious antagonisms.

The national resistance to the Russian occupation has diminished the prestige of the Marxist religion, and some of its votaries, who refrain from participating in the Communist government the Soviets established in Afghanistan, dream of creating an "Afghan Communism," which, however, would in fact have to depend on support from Russia and the United States, allied powers that are unlikely to favor dissidents from the Communist government in Kabul, unless they decide to foster anarchy.

The resistance, not unnaturally, greatly increased the prestige and active membership of the "fundamentalist" Moslems, and the fact that Persian is the language of the country has greatly facilitated the activity of the agents and admirers of Khomenei. There is said to be a considerable tendency toward what is called 'ghazism,' i.e., the faith that True Believers must exterminate the adherents of other cults, who are the damnable and damned servants of Iblis. This should make especially lively the relations between "fundamentalist" Sunnis and the "fundamentalist" Shi'a.

It is obvious, therefore, that the temporary Soviet "withdrawal" leaves Afghanistan a ruined country in which the power of government is "up for grabs" and there will be many grabbers. It requires no imagination to foresee the consequences.

THE MASSES

A pamphlet that reprints an article that appeared in the *American Atheist* in May 1982, records a political phenomenon, typical of our languishing nation, which may be little known today and certainly deserves attention.

The article is unfortunately so organized that judicious readers who are pressed for time are likely to discard it after reading the first five or six of the twenty-nine pages. It begins with the text of a petition to the Federal Communications Comission submitted by two men (race unstated) who evidently wanted to peddle some noxious superstition they thought was non-Christian but was probably just another Christian heresy,¹ and were envious of the virtual monopoly of radio broadcasting enjoyed by the more orthodox Christian dervishes. They accordingly put together a plausible and well-reasoned argument for a *limitation* of "religious broadcasting" to prevent the Christians from having a *total* monopoly in some areas. They obviously wanted to make room for the competing buncombe they intended to promote.

The petition is worse than trash, however. Its authors brazenly allege that the Christian radio stations promulgate "a comfortable, blond, Aryan view of Godhead." It is impossible to determine whether the two men, obviously of some eminently dispensable racial stock and said to have been young, were so stupid as to believe what they said or were so dishonest as to make the allegation to enlist support in a government which is surreptitiously working to liquidate the Aryans in this country, believing them to be an obstacle to realization of the great American dream of making the land between the Atlantic and the Pacific a fetid jungle, infested by half a billion or a billion of diseased and mindless mongrels, who will live as happily as did the rats in old Hamelin.²

1. As I have often remarked, over the past fifteen centuries the mentality of our race was so infected and permeated by the spiritual poison of Christianity that the superstition has left a deadly residue in many minds that imagine they have freed themselves from it. Many ostentatiously anti-Christian religions, such as Marxism, are really mercly reformed cults that retain the essentials of the religion they reject. For example, whenever you hear bleating about 'minorities' or squawks about 'racism,' you may be sure that they come from either Christians or persons whose minds are unwittingly controlled by a residue of Christian faith. Ironically but obviously, this includes many professed atheists. Of course, there are also Communist agents who are cynically availing themselves of a convenient disguise to promote the substance of Christianity while seeming to oppose it.

2. It is a discouraging but significant fact that many individuals who believe the tales in the Jew-Book to be records of actual happenings disbelieve the story of the rats that overran Hamelin and the coming of the Pied Piper, dismissing it as a folk-tale, although it is certainly as plausible and credible as the stories they believe. The reason for this discrepancy, of course, is that no set of witch-doctors have thought it profitable to promote the morally superior legend about the city in Saxony.

10 Liberty Bell / September 1988

At that point (p.5), the pamphlet will go into many wastepaper baskets, as it would have gone into mine, had it not been sent me by a friend in whose judgement I have great confidence.

It is not until one reaches page 14 that the purpose of the long and misleading introduction becomes apparent. The quoted petition, filed in December 1974, seems to have been the origin of a canard that Mrs. Madalyn O'Hair had presented a petition with 27,000 signatures demanding the *prohibition* of religious broadcasting.

Now, to be scrupulously fair, we must concede that, first, this is what Mrs. O'Hair *might* have done, if she had had so numerous a following in 1974, and, second, that such a petition would have been entirely reasonable and proper, although it had implications that would have excluded all huckstering from radio broadcastswhich would have been a boon in itself. Therefore, since professional soul-savers have less regard for facts than do high-powered salesmen of fraudulent securities and "great investment opportunities," it is entirely possible that some of the holy men who began a frantic agitation did actually believe that Mrs. O'Hair had presented such a petition. They, by professional habit that has become an automatic reflex, sound off whenever an opening presents itself.³ But granting that the agitation may have been begun with only a professional disinterest in truth, we may be certain that most of the saintly rabble-rousers who carried it on in subsequent years were cynically exploiting an opportunity to show the world how many biped sheep they had in the flocks they were constantly shearing.

What followed will, I think, amaze, and, I hope, impress most of my readers. Within six months, the Federal Communications Commission received 750,000 letters demanding that the Commission reject the petition Mrs. O'Hair had not submitted. This is

3. I witnessed an amusing instance of such automatic reaction in my youth, when I attended a party given by a man whose father, a quite well-known minister in a distant state, was paying him an unexpected visit. The host used a very reliable bootlegger and the party became cheerful. I do not remember whether the old man joined in the alcoholic sinning, but he dozed off in his chair and slept for some time. Awakening and hearing some words in the discussion in progress, he leapt up and began to orate about "social justice" and Jesus, speaking, as was evidently his habit in the pulpit, with his eyes as closed as his mind. He ranted for two or three minutes before he opened his eyes, lowered them from the ceiling, and saw where he was. said to have been so much more mail than the Commission had ever before received about any issue that it was naturally overwhelmed. But that was merely the beginning of the uproar that was orchestrated by virtually all the fakirs in the highly lucrative Jesus-business. Every con man who was fleecing suckers by scaring them with Jewish ghost-stories saw a wonderful opportunity to promote his racket and consolidate his power as shepherd of the flock he set to bleating.

The result, described in detail in the pamphlet, should send cold shivers down your back. Despite attempts by the Commission to explain that it had never received a petition from Mrs. O'Hair and had rejected the one submitted by the young men who wanted to peddle their own brand of hokum, the boobs were kept agog for eight or more years, during which they wasted enormous amounts of ink, paper, postage stamps, and the time of the employees the Commission had to hire to open the letters and answer the continually ringing telephones.

The racketeers improved their lies. One holy man solemnly swore that Mrs. O'Hair had submitted such a petition. The medicine-men never hesitate at perjury: old Yahweh, if he exists, certainly loves liars and swindlers: he made a race of them his Chosen. Others propagated the story that Mrs. O'Hair had [herself!] introduced a bill in Congress to "outlaw religious broadcasting." The jackasses composing the Senate of the State of Illinois passed a resolution condemning Mrs. O'Hair for the petition she had never submitted.⁴ Politicians, like fakirs, live by bamboozling boobs, so quite a few of them sounded their fog horns to obtund the ears of their victims, especially after their offices were jammed with letters from half-wits who can vote.

By May 1978, the Commission was receiving 13,000 letters a day, and the total had reached 6,500,000. No one ever estimated the amount of waste paper sent to members of Congress, but there must have been a comparable quantity of it. Some statis-

4. Such phenomena, normal in a "democracy," are not confined to the United States. In the 1920s the Camelots du Roi in France enjoyed sporting with the ignorance of a score or more of the "democratic" pickpockets who had been elected to that nation's Chambre des Députés. Approached by supposed "leftists," the sapient legislators signed and published a resolution that condemned the United States for denying civil rights to the inhabitants of Nicaragua, the only one of the eighteen states of the Union that was denied equality with the rest.

12 Liberty Bell / September 1988

tician computed that cost of postage stamps alone, when the postage was less than half the present rate, was \$1,650,000.

The howling dervishes were delighted with the din they were making and especially with the demonstration of their power over the vast herds of cattle that were bellowing at their command. Women's Clubs plied their pens. Moppets in the schools were set to writing, or signing, letters about something they could not understand. By 1980, envelopes containing such dirty paper were delivered to the Commission at the rate of a hundred thousand each week, and five employees were needed for the task of opening letters on the illusory subject, while eight were needed to answer telephone calls about it.

By the time the article was sent to press in May 1982, the Commission had received 13,000,000 letters, and some of them, counted as single letters, were petitions bearing as many as 30,000 signatures. Many of the letters and signatures were, of course, forgeries, as is normal in godly work.⁵ It is reasonable to suppose that another twelve or thirteen million letters were divided among the members of Congress.

I do not know how long after May 1982 the evangelical spook-salesmen continued to agitate their hordes of muttonheads, so I cannot estimate how many more letters were received by the Commission after May 1982. I hope, however, that you will ponder the incomplete record above, and will remember it the next time you are asked to give money "to awaken the people" and "field a winning candidate."

5. I remember that more than thirty years ago I was consulted by a lady -I never use that word as merely an euphemism for 'woman'-the wife of a prosperous farmer, who had been enlisted by the promoters of a great "Christian Conservative" movement. She discovered that she was to serve as a member of a band of patriotically pious women who were forging signatures to electoral petitions, and were using a fairly clever technique for making them seem authentic at first glance. The lady, more honest than most of her politically active sex, was perplexed. She was told "that's the way one has to do things in a 'democracy.'" That was true enough, but did not satisfy her, for reasons which ladies can understand but will mystify gynaecic do-gooders. It is not a coincidence that the most notorious work of forging ballots recently discovered was done by a well-known federation of high-minded females, who were sniveling over the "underprivileged." Kipling was not the first to remark that the female of our species is more deadly than the male. See the Ecclesiazusae of Aristophanes.


I have no doubt but that a slowly increasing number of Americans are beginning to regret that they gave their country away. Had they done the regretting fifty years ago and been sufficiently resolute then, they probably could have recaptured the United States by legal means in a series of local, state, and federal elections. But now they are living in an ochlocracy (which the boobs are taught to call a "democracy"⁶) of their own making. They are helpless now and will remain helpless for a long time. Optimists like Harold Covington, as he, a Xenophon writing before the fact, explains in his Anabasis,⁷ believe that their chance will come eventually and before they have been liquidated and their surviving progeny absorbed in a mass of moronic mongrels. I shall not presume to predict.

Mrs. O'Hair believes that the amazing outbreak of Christian fanaticism, engineered by howling dervishes, many of whom may be too stupid or greedy to glimpse the ultimate purpose for which they are being used, is part of a concerted drive to incite a New Dark Ages,⁸ permanently to establish a fanatically fantastic religion, destroy rationality in the minority capable of coherent thought, and thus to impose the total slavery of all mankind predicted by George Orwell in his 1984. This is a question to which I shall return later.

6. In a true democracy-rule by the $d\bar{e}mos$ -the franchise would always have been strictly limited to members of the Aryan race and should have been further limited by property-qualifications (as the framers of the Constitution intended) and provisions for admitting to citizenship metics of the right race only after verification of their compatibility with the Americans then controlling the country.

7. The March Up Country, Liberty Bell Publications, 1987; 6.00 + postage. It should be remembered that the author of this incisive commentary on what is *now* polically feasible, is a man who has had very extensive experience in the actual work of organizing resistance to the occupation. *Crede experto*.

8. Needless to say, the coming age will have nothing to do with the New Middle Ages romantically envisaged and predicted by Ralph Adams Cram in his well-known book of that title, nor yet with the Nouveau Moyen-Age of Berdyaev's alembicated mysticism.



IT WILL be to the eternal disgrace of the major media in this country that the second Zundel trial was virtually blacked as a result of pressure from Jewish groups. They 'couldn't have been much more craven if they had been living in a dictatorship. But now some fascinating stuff is surfacing, most of it from obscure publications.

The issue is whether the so-called Holocaust took place. In other words, whether the Hitler regime deliberately set out to kill all the Jews it could get its hands on, and that 6,000,000 died as a result.

Hitter regime or played down to kill all the David Stirlin hands on, and rian and writer, believer in the b

More and more, I am coming to the conclusion that it didn't. (DarIgnored by the medla, misreported or played down. The David Stirling, the British historian and writer, was once a firm believer in the Holocaust. He has written extensively about World War II, and in his book Hitler's

made at the trial that were either

He and his team, all specialists, produced a 193-page report which contained this categorical statement: 'There were no execution gas chambers at any of these locations. It is (our) best engineering opinion that the alleged gas chambers at the inspected sites could not then have been, or now be, utilized or seriously considered to function as execution gas chambers.''

ing thoughts that could land a guy in jail in this free country of ours!) There are simply too many questions, and they won't go away just because we are told every hour on the hour that it did.

That is not to say that Jews did not suffer mightily simply because they were Jews, and that many died. As a POW, I myself met many who were doomed, and before that had been forced to wear the yellow Star of David, It is also not to say that the concentration eamps were some kind of Club Med, They weren't. They were hell-holes.

But bad treatment is one thing, and mass murder by the million quite another.

Here are just a few of the points

War accepted that there had indeed been a deliberate slaughter. "At that time, (1977), I believed there had been a methodical liquidation" (of the Jews), he stated. "But that is something I have

come to challenge." Then there was the devastating Leuchter report.

Fred A. Leuchter is reportedly the only living American expert on gas chambers, and built the Missouri State Penitentiary gas chamber. Brnst Zundel commissloned him to go to Aushwitz, Birkenau^{*} and Maidenek concentration camps (all of which have been preserved) to examine what are billed as gas chambers. He and his (eam, all specialists,

produced a 193-page report which

contained this categorical statement: "There were no execution gas chambers at any of these locations. It is (our) best engineering opinion that the alleged gas chambers at the inspected sites could not then have been, or now be, utilized or seriously considered to function as execution gas chambers."

Leuchter's people took dozens of samples from the walls of the "gas chambers" and had them analyzed at Alpha Labs, Maryland. In only one sample was cyanide detected at any significant level, and that was consistent with what would have been used in a delousing chamber. In addition, Leuchter stated that the construction of the "gas chambers" was such that gas could not have been used in them, without danger to people outside.

Then there was the somewhat macabre business of what it takes to burn a human body — interesting because millions are supposed to have gone up in smoke in Auschwitz alone.

There were crematoria at the huge Auschwitz concentration camp, of course. Forty-six of them. But according to Holocaust "revisionists," these were necessary because of the admittedly high death rate from typhus and other diseases (plus malnutrition, if the meagre rations in the camps were anything to go by).

Ivan Lagace of Calgary had something to say about those crematoria. He manages six in his city. He pointed out that it is no easy task to burn a body, and testified that the ones at Auschwitz could not possibly have handled more than 184 bodies a day. He labelled reports that there were 4,400 cremations a day there as "preposterous."

There is a wealth of other material but you will never read about it in your friendly daily newspapers. But one day it will all come out. In the meantime, the best thing I have read on the subject is in *Instauration* magazine, which is published in the U.S. Its July report on the second trial is well worth reading.

* DAVID IRVING-ACTUALLY

BOOK REVIEW

Karl Otto Braun, Pearl Harbor in neuer Sicht / Wie F.D. Roosevelt die USA in den Zweiten Weltkrieg führte (A New Perspective on Pearl Harbor / How F.D. Roosevelt Led the United States into the Second World War), Frankfurt am Main: Ullstein, 1986. In the series, Herbig Materialien zur Zeitgeschichte. Paperback, 76 pages plus 66 pages of maps, documents (some in English and Japanese) and an index of persons. Price: DM 12,80.

By Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

In Bitlletin 20 we had the honor of publishing an essay by Dr. Braun entitled, "Reflections on Hitler's Campaign in Russia 1941-45." Bulletin 20 was subsequently republished in the Liberty Bell of February 1988. Now we consider Dr. Braun's observations on another momentous development that came about in the year 1941, the formal involvement of the United States in the Second World War. In Bulletin 18 we reviewed a book which also appeared in the Herbig Materialien zur Zeitgeschichte series, Vergessene Zeitgeschichte by Dr. Alfred Schickel.

Dr. Braun was a young man in the German diplomatic service, posted in Japan during 1938-1940, thus at a time when Japan was already deeply involved in a war in China and hence already in grave conflict with American foreign policy ("the open door"). He subsequently worked in the East-Asian section of the German Foreign Office in Berlin. After the war life became quite difficult for him, as he has explained to me personally, because, as a member of the German diplomatic service, he was in the Allied automatic arrest category. Dr. Braun, who is fluent in English and Japanese in addition to his native German, is in an unusual position to analyze from a German perspective how the United States became involved in the Second World War, the event which so profoundly changed the nature, outcome, and results of the war. As early as 1935 Dr. Braun wrote an examination paper on the reaction of the League of Nations to the Japanese conquest of Manchuria while he was at the University of Berlin, as he mentions in the book (page 39). He has also kept current on the American literature about Pearl Harbor and has lectured and traveled in the United States, including a stop at Tulsa. He has published two

papers in the *Journal of Historical Review* under the titles, "American Foreign Policy Toward Europe: The Fateful Change" (V. 2-4) and "Reflections on German and American Foreign Policy, 1933-1945" (VI, 1).

Much of Dr. Braun's book is devoted to summarizing for the German reader the history of American relations with Germany and Japan prior to the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor and the events that took place immediately before the attack. Much of his material is taken from the American literature in the area, such as the book edited by Harry Elmer Barnes, *Perpetual War for Perpetual Peace*, the title page of which is reproduced as one of the appendices. Pages 57 to 76, in fact, contain a translation into German of James J. Martin's article in Volume IV, no. 4 of the *Journal of Historical Review* (1983-84), "Where was General Marshall?" Dr. Braun introduces this translation with a quotation from Frederick the Great (King of Prussia, 1740-1786): "The attacker is the one who compels his adversary to resort to arms."

In the interesting bibliography on pages 51 to 53 Dr. Braun cites the works of such authors as Dall, Fish, Forrestal, Martin, Poncins, and Toland, as well as German authors in this area; Bavendamm (1985), Berber (1943), Franz-Willing (1979), Kunert (1984), Topitsch (Stalins Krieg, 1985), and others.

For us Americans who are familiar with the American revisionist literature in this field, however, the value of this book lies in Dr. Braun's interpretation of this segment of history from German and, to some extent, Japanese points of view. Let me attempt to give the flavor and orientation of this interpretation by a few selections (in my translation) from the text which caught my attention. There were so many interesting passages that the choice was not an easy one. I believe that these passages bring information and views which might be unfamiliar to most American historians.

Page 7:

"All seas in all regions are as brothers to one another. Why, then, do storms and waves of combat rage everywhere in the world?"

Poem of the Japanese emperor Meiji [Mutsuhito, reigned 1867-1912], read by the Tenno Hirohito before the Imperial Conference on 6 September 1941 as an admonishment to the leaders to negotiate with America as far as possible

Page 11:

The international political event of the year 1933 which cast the longest shadow was not Hitler's coming to power . . . The political event with the most profound effect during this fateful year was the recognition of Stalin's dictatorship by the United States, which Roosevelt brought

18 Liberty Bell / September 1988

about immediately after his taking office in March. The official American acceptance of the bloody revolution of 1917 was signed in Washington on 16 November 1933.

Page 19:

As a result of this exclusively American financing of the war, Churchill lost control of his own house. He had to present to Roosevelt, his financial backer, Hitler's extensive peace proposals which had been brought over by Rudolf Hess on 10 May 1941. The result: A complete rejection! The British are keeping the documents under lock to the year 2017.

Page 20:

In addition to the depth charges [that were being dropped on German submarines], Roosevelt had a poisonous political arrow shot from his inner circle against his personal enemy, Hitler. Nathan Kaufmann's book, *Germany Must Perish* [available from Liberty Bell Publications], appeared in the Argyle Press in New York. The front page of the *Völkischer Beobachter* took up the matter. In the office of the Berlin Gau headquarters Joseph Göbbels had his own pamphlet printed with extensive quotations and Kaufmann's picture. In this book a detailed plan was put forth for the extermination of the German people by sterilization of all men, women, children, and infants. Only men over 70 and women over 50 were to be exempted. References to this plan can be found in the diary of Charles Lindbergh, Roosevelt's adversary. Page 22:

Since the time when Nippon was able to call itself *Dai Nippon* (Greater Japan), this ambitious empire was also burdened with a *big* mortgage: *China*. I still see before me combat officers handing over to deeply bowing relatives more and more little urn boxes covered in white silk wrapped in flags at every railway station between 1938 and 1940. Not one tear fell! It was moving.

We note that American blood was flowing in the Atlantic since the summer of 1941 as a result of Roosevelt's order to shoot. The German submarines had strict orders to fire only *after* the Americans fired at them or dropped depth charges on them. Such cases had now occurred. The destroyer *Greer*, for example, had dropped depth charges on a German submarine. The submarine had returned fire. Hitler mentioned this, in addition to other provocative violations, in his long speech of 11 December 1941.

Page 34:

On the front page of the Chicago Daily Tribune of 29 August 1949 it was claimed that the Chinese Marshal, Chiang-Kai-shek, warned Roosevelt in a telegram in November 1941 about an attack on Pearl 'Harbor. The Chinese searches in Taipeh which I requested in 1985 did not bring the telegram to light. Nevertheless, the younger son of the marshal, General Chiang Wego W.K., now the defense minister of the Chinese government on Taiwan, confirmed the following to me on 2 May 1986: "I can, nevertheless, assure you that my late father warned the U.S. government and General Douglas MacArthur about the possibility of a Japanese attack on Hawaii and the Philippines almost a half year before this operation." In addition, the general provided this information on 11 July 1986: "Roosevelt *deliberately* refrained from taking measures to avoid the catastrophe because it was apparent that he wanted to use the attack as a pretext for an entry of the United States into the war in the Pacific." [Note that these quotations are retranslations.]

Page 41:

Even the enemy of Japan, Stimson, later conceded that *neither* of the *two* atom bombs would have had to be dropped in order to attain peace. This refutes the assertion, which is still often believed today, that the atom bomb saved American army divisions. Page 48:

At the end of the Second World War there remained a much more powerful explosive material [than the disregard of the principle of self-determination at the end of the First World War]—this time a world-wide one: The ideological tearing apart of Europe, a divided capital, a wall with death zones through Germany; in the Near East the atomic power of Israel against the rebellious Islamic people; in Asia: a divided Korea, a Sovietized Vietnam, and Marxist genocide In Cambodia, not to mention the bloody "Cultural Revolution" in China.

Previous experiences with the leaders of the victorious states of the most recent World War, the Soviet Union and Israel, exhibit more destructive than constructive forces. Hate plays a central rôle in their particular intellectual and political orientations. Nothing good can be expected from that. History is always a prelude to the future.

One of the most important features of Dr. Braun's book is the inclusion of a large segment of the text of Hitler's very long speech to the Reichstag on 11 December 1941 in which Hitler declared war on the United States, or perhaps it would be more accurate to say that Hitler recognized in this speech that the United States, through Roosevelt's machinations, had been carrying on an actual war against Germany for some months in devious defiance of the wishes of the vast majority of Americans to stay out of the war in Europe. This extract

20 Liberty Bell / September 1988

from Hitler's long speech, the part which relates to President Roosevelt, occupies nearly 18 pages of fine print and is of utmost importance for understanding the history of American involvement in the Second World War and even the subsequent military rôle of the United States in it. However, I must confess that I do not know of any conveniently available English translation of it. Dr. Braun gives as his source the book by Friedrich Berber, *Die amerikanische Neutralität im Kriege 1939-1941*, Essen, 1943, pp. 173-191. The ultimate source, in turn, is given by Berber as Verhandlungen des Reichstags (Proceedings of the Reichstag) Vol. 460, pp. 93 ff. Most American historians have paid little or no attention to this important speech. In *Hitler's War* (1977) David Irving hardly does more than to mention it on page 386. In a note to me, Dr. Braun stated that he attended this speech together with Rudolf von Ribbentrop, son of the German Foreign Minister during 1938-1945.

By making a formal declaration of war against the United States, Hitler went against Ribbentrop's advice, as Dr. Braun points out on pp. 18-19:

"Let us return to the Wilhelmstrasse in Berlin. In the meantime 8 December 1941 had arrived. An analysis of the Tripartite Pact showed that-de jure-an alliance was not involved. Even if tremendous economic pressure had been put on Japan, Japan, after all, was the attacker. [That was] Roosevelt's political achievement. At the last moment the Japanese ambassadors in Berlin and Rome had obtained assurances of military aid against the United States in case of war. Hitler and Mussolini assented to it in principle on 5 December. In view of the Japanese attack Ribbentrop made a final effort not to make so easy the fulfillment of Roosevelt's wish to draw Germany into the war against America at the same time. We people in the Foreign Office had been disappointed in Japan's foreign policy stance since the fall of Matsuoka in the summer. Matsuoka had been amicable to the Axis. We had the impression that the Japanese, especially the Japanese navy, would have gladly exchanged the Tripartite Pact of the previous year for a definite agreement with the United States." (My translation.)

As an American who was the son of a law professor active in the Republican Party at the time and opposed to our entry into the war in Europe, I cannot refrain here from expressing my agreement with Ribbentrop's good advice. As a result of Hitler's declaration of war, Roosevelt, the most cunning, destructive, and evil man who ever occupied the White House, got exactly what he wanted, even if we must concede that Hitler's motivations for the declaration of war were understandable and even though Hitler was thus honestly and honorably recognizing a *de facto* state of war.

I recall my own experience on 7 December 1941. I was a member of the University of Cincinnati chess team which was playing the University of Indiana on its campus. I had had the pleasant experience of defeating my opponent and I went down to the social room of the student union building, where I was shocked to learn of the Japanese attack by a news report on the radio. As I recall the mood of the United States in 1941, Roosevelt would have had a difficult time in bringing about military land operations against Germany without a formal state of war. To the dismay and distress of the majority of Americans, Hitler had played into Roosevelt's hands by a formal declaration of war, which, moreover, had not been necessitated by previous treaties between Japan and Germany. After a formal state of war had been established, Roosevelt was henceforth politically in a position to bring the main military effort of the United States to bear first against Italy and Germany. After the defeat of Italy and then Germany there followed more than three months of huge losses of some of the best American and Japanese blood and the dropping of two atom bombs before Japan finally surrendered, even though Japan had made efforts toward peace negotiations long before that and even though the Japanese navy had been defeated or at least so badly depleted that Japan probably could have been brought to terms by naval blockade and non-atomic bombardment from the air.

Since Hitler's important speech of 11 December 1941 does not seem to be readily available in English translation, we intend to discuss it in a future *Bulletin*.

The following is a letter we received from Dr. Karl Otto Braun on 11 March 1988:

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Many thanks for sending me the January and February copy of your interesting *Liberty Bell*.

In my article "Reflections on Hitler's Campaign in Russia" there is scarcely any misprint, except on page 12 of your February issue, line 16 from below: "etc." should be dropped. On Line 11, from above and below, you spell Romania, whereas Prof. Daniel Jones (my teacher at the University of London) printed Rumania in his standard work, *An English Pronouncing Dictionary*, (New York, E.P. Dutton & Co., 1928, p. 327). All this is of minor importance.

The point of my article is that most of the historians investigate this subject exclusively with military arguments. On the contrary, I think that the outbreak of the German-Soviet War should be seen additionally in a world-political context! Once Hitler was seriously threatened by Anglo-Saxon and World-Zionist enmity*, he was forced to look for an alliance with Stalin, the only way out of complete encirclement. This meant that he had to fall back on Bismarck's policy and to abandon his anticommunism of *Mein Kampf*.

Such a complete and costly change, however, favored his victories over Poland, Norway and finally France. Moreover, it brought him advantages for his war-economy.

We in the German Foreign Office—thinking soberly and not so much ideologically—stood behind Hitler's bold step, inspite of the great risk that Stalin might not abstain ultimately from his ideal of world conquest, i.e., from his (and Gorbachev's and Raisa's) ideology. Once we (living at that time in East Asia) had succeeded in concluding the Tripartite Pact with Tokyo, Russia's participation was even an urgent necessity! Stalin's territory became the only bridge to our new ally. According to my conviction, Hitler was far from intending to blow up this bridge. He was only forced to do so when facts proved that the "new Hannibal", namely Stalin, was ante portas. George Washington's America should learn from this bitter German experience! When will she realize that her "Hannibal" is already ante portas, with many formidable "Trojan Horses" within her own borders?!

There is a lonely "Rufer in der Wüste" [Voice in the wilderness], Senator Jesse Helms, whose speech in the Senate on 15 December 1987 should be spread widely. Basically I agree with his interpretation of the wavering US foreign policy, only a few items I have to criticize:

Rightly he attacks Fabian Socialism as a prelude to Marxism. However, this illness is not confined to England. Felix Frankfurter, intimate adviser of FDR promoted it also in the States, as you can easily read in his papers in the Library of Congress. Instead of blaming Marxist subversive activities in Free Europe, the Senator should not forget that FDR was by all odds the greatest salesman of Bolshevism that the world has ever seen, including Marx himself and Lenin. In Yalta, ten European countries were brought under Soviet heel, leaving the betrayal of national Kuomintang-China quite aside. And still today we Europeans live under Yalta's yoke since 1945.

In September 1943 it was proclaimed in Washington D.C.: "There will be no peace treaty for Germany"! WHO said so? President Roosevelt to the later Cardinal Francis Spellman... Dear Mr. Dietz, I am sorry that history depicts such a somber page of US foreign policy. This does in no way infringe my respect for all your efforts with *Liberty Bell*. I remain with best regards—also to Professor Reviló P. Oliver— Yours sincerely,

Karl Otto Braun

*Cp. the reports of the Polish Ambassador in Washington, Count Jerzy Potocki to Warsaw on January 12 and 16, 1939. – Cp. also today: Meese, Bronfman versus Waldheim!

IN MEMORIAM

Dr. Karl-Otto Braun 1910-1988

Dr. Braun served in the German diplomatic representation in Japan from 1938 to 1940, after which he held important positions in the German Foreign Office until 1945. He wrotem various articles on the history of the Second World War, including one which appeared in the February 1988 *Liberty Bell*. His book, *Pearl Harbor in neuer Sicht*, was published in 1986 in the series, Herbig Materialien zur Zeitgeschicht. He was a tireless researcher on important aspects of the Second World War and much of what he wrote was based on his own experiences. His presence will be sorely missed by sincere historians who are trying to sort out the truth about one of the most tragic wars in the history of mankind. We recall the words of a poet who lived seven centuries ago: swer des vergêze, der tête mir leide.

Charles E. Weber

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and in-sane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled Immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 3014) send \$9.50 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling) to: *LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.*



THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIALS IN TORONTO 1983 - 1988

by David McCalden

CHAPTER THREE

 TRIAL BY JEWRY
The Great Holocaust Trials in Toronto 1983 - 1988

by David McCalden

First Edition 1988

© Copyright 1988 by Liberty Bell Publications ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

> Additional copies available from: Liberty Bell Publications P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

or Truth Missions

P.O. Box 3849, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 USA

Printed in the United States of America

The Preliminary Part Two

Zündel's backroom boffins made short work of the vain and pompous Nuremberg "Expert" John Fried. Thanks to our vigorous, dialectical attack on his testimony, he was not re-exhibited at either of the main trials. The Crown prosecutors claimed that Fried's health did not permit him to undertake further participation. Yet, Fried continues to pop up at elaborate, and thus strenuous, Holocaust ceremonials, including one pompous affair where he turned over all his Nuremberg archives to the crooked Simon Wiesenthal Center-presumably in penance for his shabby performance in Toronto. The Crown may also have been worried about the effect Fried's leftist views might have on a jury; during the Preliminary he confessed that he had been an active member of the Austrian Socialist Party, and that he had gone to work for the red-front International Labor Organization in Montreal. On top of that, Fried's testimony had tended to wander; at several points the judge had to step in to direct him to focus on the question. Although it was relatively easy to dispose of Fried, we were not quite so lucky with his fellow countryman, Dr. Raul Hilberg. With him it took two grillings and a new defense lawyer before we managed to knock him out.

Hilberg, who was next up on the witness stand, presented us with a unique opportunity to actually put the "Holocaust" to the test. (In fact, this was to become Zündel's entire aim and purpose; he soon relegated his own personal fate to the back-burner.) Hilberg's appearance was important for a number of reasons: First, he was not only the earliest, but also the most methodical of Holocaust scholarshis work, The Destruction of the European Jews, remains the keystone of Holocaust study, even after twenty-seven years. Second, Hilberg has frequently testified at OSI deportation/extradition hearings in the United States, as a background Expert witness, to inform the (juryless!) courts of the "context" of war-time atrocity allegations. Third, Hilberg was actually cited by Verrall-Harwood in the offending D6MRD? (As we know, Verrall-Harwood mis-represented Hilberg thanks to Rassinier's misleading and amateurish early research; but these discrepancies were effectively cleaned up by Dr. Arthur Butz, who focused his entire book, The Hoax of the Twentieth Century, on a scientific appraisal of Hilberg, and his British counterpartReitlinger.)

31

Amazingly, although Hilberg is the world's #1 Holocaust[©] Expert[®], he does not teach Holocaust Studies, or even European History. He teaches at the very modest University of Vermont, in International Relations, specializing in U.S. Foreign Policy. (Despite the fact that the Exterminationists continually criticize the Revisionists for their "lack of expertise" in the field of Holocaust studies, the Exterminationists themselves are no different: Hilberg teaches Diplomacy; Reitlinger didn't teach at all—he was an art-dealer; Davidowicz holds a sinecure at a private Jewish medical school; Bauer does the same at Hebrew University, although he adds to his modest Israeli stipend with honoraria from star-struck Westerners like Vidal Sassoon and Robert Maxwell, who need him as academic window-dressing for their rival Holocaust institutes.)

Once on the witness-stand, Hilberg testified that he was born and raised in Vienna; though we didn't ask him if he ever came across his contemporaries John Fried, Sigmund Freud, or even Adolf Hitler, for that matter. (Nor did we inquire how much he was being paid for his testimony, but that would become an interesting topic in itself, much later on.)

Like many of his kinfolk, Hilberg managed to survive the Nazi $Anschlu\beta$ unscathed and without being turned into a lampshade or a bar of soap. He confessed that he had waited until 1939—the year of the outbreak of World War Two—before fleeing to the United States.

Hilberg percolated to Brooklyn College, New York, were throughout the 1940s, 50s, and 60s, "political debate" focused on whether to eat lunch in the cafeteria's Jewish-Trotskyist alcove, or the Jewish-Bundist alcove, or the Jewish-Zionist alcove. He eventually graduated from the nearby Columbia University (where the same energetic discussions prevailed) with a doctorate in Public Law & Government. His education had been interrupted by an unusual foray into the military. Normally, Jewish émigrés preferred their goyish hosts to do all the dirty work for them: slaying "Huns", incinerating Teutons, and so on. For reasons not yet clarified, the Austrian-Jew Hilberg claims to have volunteered as an infantryman during World War Two-though we have seen no proof of his service one way or another.

In 1948 he obtained a position at the Federal Records Center at Alexandria, Virginia, where he had access to many of the documents which were introduced – and not introduced – at the contemporaneous Nuremberg Trials; both the International Tribunal and the twelve subsequent American Military Tribunals.

It was thanks to this taxpayer-supported position that Hilberg was able to compile his magisterial tome, *The Destruction of the European* Jews, first published in 1961 by Quadrangle Books of Chicago. Hilberg's book would remain essentially unchanged until 1985, when he brought out a "revised, definitive" edition, under the imprimatur of the obscure Jewish publishing house Holmes & Meier of New York City.

We still do not know for sure what caused Hilberg to prepare and publish in 1985 his "revised, definitive edition" when his original book had been adequate for almost a quarter of a century. Of course, every author is entitled to revise his/her published findings; indeed the offending booklet *Did Six Million Really Die?* has gone through countless reformations, both in the English language edition and in its various translations.

However, it is significant that Hilberg waited until the upsurge of Revisionism in the late 1970s, before making his corrections to this singular book. One might even suspect that it was my own invention of the Institute for Historical Review in December 1978 which stimulated him to begin re-appraising his original wild claims. One's suspicions are further raised by noting that at both Hilberg's Preliminary testimony (June 1984), and again at EZ#1 (January 1985), he knew that he was shortly going to change certain of his most basic, published claims. Indeed, at the Preliminary (p.100) he admitted that he was currently reviewing the galleyproofs of the "revised, definitive" edition. Perhaps this explains how come he declined to appear again at EZ#2, because he "did not wish to be cross-examined on seeming inconsistencies in his various testimonies."

The focus of Hilberg's revisions was his insistence that Hitler had issued "two orders" dictating the Holocaust. For 24 years this claim has appeared on the first page of Chapter 7 of *Destruction*. Ironically, it was not the Revisionists who first drew attention to Hilberg's changes; it was his own colleagues in the Exterminationist community.

In his review of "The Revised Hilberg" published in the (sporadic) Simon Wiesenthal Center Annual #3, Professor Christopher Browning (a name we shall later encounter again) points out that "in the new edition, all references to a Hitler-decision or Hitler-order. . .have been systematically excised. . In the new edition, decisions were not made and orders were not given" (p.294).

Eventually, of course, Hilberg would wreak his revenge on Browning for having drawn public attention to such "minor" alterations in his position. In the following issue of the Simon Wiesenthal Center Annual (#4) he would punish Browning with a contemptuously brief and sardonic review of Browning's latest work, Fateful Months (coincidentally published by Hilberg's new distributor, Holmes & Meier.) Therein,

32

-33

Hilberg replied to Browning with a *tu quoque* argument that: of course we all acknowledge "the absence of a written directive signed by Hitler to kill the Jews. Whatever Hitler may have said must be discerned from the contemporaneous memoranda and correspondence of his underlings."

After a quarter-century of maintaining quite the opposite—that Hitler *did* issue at least two Holocaust orders—Hilberg's comments on Browning strike one as somewhat disingenuous. Therefore it was hardly surprising that shortly thereafter Hilberg nominated Browning to take his place, and be the principal Fall Guy at EZ#2, where it would be his over-zealous reviewer who would take a pratfall instead of himself. While Hilberg would later be criticized for his poor performance at EZ#1, his patsy, Christopher Browning, would, be even more vigorously denounced for his "complete incompetence" at EZ#2 which "made him look like he didn't even know his own subject."

It also seems likely that Hilberg tried to head-off widespread comment on his sneaky alterations, by persuading his publisher to price the new edition well beyond the reach of the average Revisionist in the street. Certainly, the 1985 edition is extravagantly presented in the form of three hard-back volumes in a sturdy slip-case. But even so, the price tag of over \$100-was hardly warranted. (The books were so pricey that Dr. Robert Faurisson was mugged and relieved of his set on the Paris Metro!) It wasn't until EZ#2 was well over that Hilberg's publisher brought out a more modest paperback at \$14.95.

At the Preliminary, Hilberg continued to exude the same smug, patronizing attitude which had been a feature of his testimony at OSI kangaroo courts. He was actively aided and abetted by the Crown Prosecutor, Peter Griffiths, who brazenly massaged his Expert[®] ego with compliments that "you look to young, doctor" to have fought in World War Two (p.98) and "all of [the reviews of your book] are glowing" (p.99). However, it became too much for even judge Wally Hryciuk to tolerate, and he soon urged (p.100) the boot-licking barrister to move along with Hilberg's evidence. While Zündel was represented by the courageous but ineffectual Lauren Marshall, this dogand-pony act reckoned they could baffle and bamboozle the court with this kind of back-slapping mutual-admiration technique; at the Main Trial (EZ#1) Zündel's new barrister, Doug Christie, would grind-up both the Expert[®] and the Prosecutor, so much so that both would eventually cower away in fear and trepidation, nominating gullible stand-ins to take their place at EZ#2.

After Hilberg was qualified, Griffiths pounced on the Holocaust casualty total attributed to him on page 30 of Zündel's edition of D6MRD? According to Verrall-Harwood, "the Jewish statistician Raul Hilberg estimates an even lower figure of 896,892." As Hilberg smugly pointed out, this figure was not his at all, but that of French professor Paul Rassinier, who had taken Hilberg's actual Holocaust figure (of 5.4m—depending on which chapter one consulted) and subjected this total to various of *Rassinier's* adjustments, to reflect Jewish emigration, natural losses, and so on.

Rassinier had been working totally alone in France during the later 1950s and early 1960s. It was hardly surprising that he should get his figures mixed up. What was distressing was that Richard Verrall should transpose Rassinier's erroneous figures (out of *The Drama of the European Jews*) into *D6MRD*? in 1974 without bothering to check them first.

Although the original publishers, Historical Review Press, were gradually cleaning up such errors, edition by edition, Ernst Zündel was unfortunate enough to have selected one of the earlier editions of D6MRD? for reproduction. However, the number of errors was actually quite small. Eventually, the Crown Prosecutors, the prosecution Experts[®], and the defense witnesses would agree that, in general, the booklet was at least 90% accurate.

Prosecutor Griffiths was brazen enough to introduce-and to rely heavily on-the only published response to D6MRD?, namely Six Million Did Die. This polemical work was the product of the South African Jewish Board of Deputies, and represented their submissions to the South African Censorship Board, to whom they had successfully applied to have D6MRD? banned from the Republic. Although most of the court did not bat an eyelid, the irony of this situation was not lost on this writer-attendee. Canadians-particularly the Toronto-Yorkville yuppy type-are prone to posturing as trendy-lefty, north-European clones. Somebody ought to do a study some day about the influence of climate on the White man's political outlook. In Europe, Mediterranean people are more emotional than Northerners, but also more practical and less idealistic. The further north one goes, the more romantic-idealism and orthodox liberalism creeps in, until one hits the Scandinavian countries, where Liberalism is the state religion. It's the same in reverse in the antipodes: all the liberal lunatics inhabit the temperate cities of Melbourne and Sydney, while realistic folks prefer the hotter climes of Brisbane, Perth, and (for the real red-neck) Alice Springs. Here in North America, it's the same. Realistic folks tend to live in sunnier climes (the South, the West) while the further north one travels through Yankeedom and into Canuckistan, the more liberalism sets in.

The South African banning was of course an exercise in Orwellianism. As the brilliant Dr. Revilo P. Oliver has pointed out, both the Afrikaaner Dutch and the British Rhodes types have for a very long time worked hand-in-glove with the Jews. The fundamentalist Afrikaaners share with the Jews a devotion to the Incorrigible Truth of the Old Testament, which the Jews call the Torah. (The American evangelists, and the Ulster Paisleyites do as well.) The British imperialists, imbued with a sense of Christianizing/civilizing the Noble Savage, and making-a-quick-buck-while-they're-about-it, did not, and do not, care whether the vehicle for their mission should be the Rhodesia Company, the British South Africa Company, Lonrho, Christian Aid, Voluntary Service Overseas, SWAPO, or the ANC.

The Jewish community in South Africa is very large and very powerful. Their voluntary donations to Israel rival the compulsory gifts of the United States taxpayer. There are daily SAA and El Al flight between the two nations, shuttling South African Jews on their (temporary) aliyah, and bringing back Israeli pioneers who have given up on their Zionist paradise because of high taxes and low wages.

The South African Jewish community occasionally utters a few ritual condemnations of *apartheid*. Their young men go through the motions of "taking a stand" by refusing to be conscripted into the South African army. But, by and large, the South African Jews are quite happy with the benefits of *apartheid*. Their publications *kvell* over the latest Zulu servant who has learned to cook kosher for them. And the Jewish housewives continue to brag about their Zionist charity fundraisers, where hideously fat Jewish *yentas* are waited on hand-andfoot by African servants.

The system of *apartheid* in South Africa is to all intents and purposes the same as that practiced by Israel in the occupied territories. Both countries have a double-standard of laws, which provides for the daily humiliation, oppression, and subjugation of the majority population by a small privileged Minority, which uses a vast state apparatus to implement its imperialist doctrines. If the South Africans were true racists, they would give the Blacks their own homelands, and would send all their servants there. If the Israelis were true Zionists, they would cut loose the West Bank as an independent, sovereign state. As it is, both the Israelis and the South Africans have become so dependent on cheap, subservient, *commuting* laborers from the territories that they cannot now imagine that they might ever sweep their own streets or clean their own toilets, as their ancestors did.

Both the Israeli and South African authorities are heavily dependent on keeping people in the dark lest they should start asking uncomfortable questions. They do this by means of elaborate systems of censorship, usually of an Orwellian nature. Thus, when Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir was deported to Ethiopia by the British, this was A Bad Thing. But when Shamir deports dozens of Palestinians to Lebanon, or Jordan – or even to the USA – this is A Good Thing.

Along the same lines, the law invoked by the South African Zionists against *D6MRD*? was a Race Relations Act, which prohibits the stirring-up of "racial hatred" against any population group within the Republic. The irony of the *apartheid* government pointing their finger at alleged "racists" will not be lost on most readers. It also reminds us of the Swedish case of Revisionist researcher Ditlieb Felderer, who in 1983 was sentenced to ten month in jail for allegedly infringing the "Freedom of the Press" law, in that he abused press freedom by publishing prohibited materials.

Although Canada is usually at the forefront in denouncing South Africa—indeed it was Canada which in 1961 had successfully led the ignorant Black and Asian countries to expel South Africa from the British Commonwealth—all notions about "boycotting South African products" were dramatically set aside by Prosecutor Griffiths, as he posed sweetheart questions to Hilberg, about his generous contribution toward the increase in censorship and repression in that pariah state.

Hilberg bragged to the court that he had contributed to the banning of D6MRD? in South Africa by giving an affidavit to the Board of Deputies' roving attorney. In order to support their banning application, it appears that the Board had sent two emissaries scuttling around the world to compile materials which might contradict D6MRD? They met up with Hilberg in Jerusalem, where he was attending the Third Yad Vashem Historical Conference, and naturally he was very pleased to help them squelch any dissent from Holocaust orthodoxy by submitting an affidavit complaining of Rassinier's and Harwood's mis-application of his statistics. (He also pointed out that he was not a "Statistician" but a "Political Scientist"; of course, neither profession qualified him as a "Holocaust historian,")

Prosecutor Griffiths basically presented only three topics to Hilberg: (a) his magnificent "expertise," (b) his collaboration with South Africa, and (c) his opinion that Harwood was wrong about the "gassing" fate of Polish Jewry. This cursory examination left one wondering why on earth Hilberg was exhibited in the first place. OK, this was only a Preliminary, and the Crown only needed to "show cause", but even so, one would have hoped that the world's #1 Holocaust[®] Expert[®] could have put on a better show.

36

37

During the intermission, the court authorities cleared the courtroom and ushered all of us participants into the hallway. By some cunning, statist intuition the courthouse staff were able to visually determine who was on which side of the suit, and a movable door made of wooden bars was suddenly produced out of the wall, so that the two sides could be separated by a not-so-hermetically-sealed door. My fellow backroom boffin, and Los Angeleno, Bradley Smith, managed to stay on the Crown's side of the fence, and attempted to insinuate himself into the confidence of Hilberg, who was lurking in the corridor. (The Crown functionaries were legally prohibited from "coaching" him or even speaking with him, during trial interludes.) Bradley tried to draw Hilberg into a discussion on the topic of Free Speech for Revisionists, but the wily Viennese would not be tricked into taking a position. He was only too aware of the fact that Holocaust Revisionism in the United States of America is perfectly legal, and indeed protected by the U.S. Constitution, whereas Holocaust Revisionism in Canada is expressly prohibited by a variety of laws, regulations, and bureaucratic whims. Hilberg did not wish to be confronted with a dichotomy to which there was no answer: his eventual response was to retreat from the trial altogether; but that would not be until three years later.

While Bradley was attempting to inveigle his way into Hilberg's confidence, I spent the break chatting-up one of the courthouse janitors, whose thick Belfast accent belied the fact that he had emigrated to Canada some thirty years previously. He was somewhat surprised to learn of my origins in that fair city. (My own accent has been somewhat modified by a decade spent in London, and almost as long in California, resulting in my speaking with an accent located somewhere a tad west of Rockall.) We discussed the influence of Ulstermen and our Scottish cousins on Toronto's history.

The Toronto area has always been a home-away-from-home for Ulster- and Scottish-immigrants. Indeed, Ernst Zündel's "Hate House" stands on Carlton Street, named after the Ulster-born general, Sir Guy Carleton, who saved Canada from American annexation during the War of Independence. Ironically, his unsuccessful American opponent was General Richard Montgomery, another Ulsterman, who was born just a few miles from Carleton! Indeed, the two adversaries were old acquaintances who had served together in the British army, and Montgomery had later switched to the American side.

Unlike American downtowns, Canadian citics still have a "heart" which, in the case of Toronto, is dominated by the Eaton Centre, a

massive four-block shopping precinct. The founder, Timothy Eaton, learned his trade in Portglenone, in Ulster. His descendants—who miraculously still own the chain—continue to discreetly maintain their ethnic links. Until quite recently, visiting Toronto was like visiting Dundee or Balymena (respectively, the Scottish and Ulster stereotypical homes of thrifty, dour conservative Presbyterians). Just like in the old country, the Toronto Presbyterian churches and fraternities (particularly the Orange Order) insisted that "blue laws" should prohibit commercial activities on the sabbath, lest the Big Yahweh In The Sky might become perturbed. (Naturally, these Torah-thumpers never address the question every child asks at Sunday School: "How come we keep the first day holy, and not the seventh day, as we were instructed by Holy Writ?")

Ernst Zündel is fond of pointing out that when he first arrived in Toronto in the late 1950s, the tallest building was the Royal York Hotel, a rambling, Victorian edifice next to the train station. The Royal York reminds one of the great station hotels in Britain: next to, or above, railroad stations, built of granite or sandstone, and decaying rapidly in the modern age.

Since the '50s, Canada has followed the lead of its north-west European mentors, and has built sky-high condominiums, civic-works boondoggles, and public-housing projects. Although nowadays Canada, Ulster, and Scotland all open their pubs on a Sunday, they remain reluctant to open their shops on the Sabbath to sell shirts, skirts, and shoes. Booze is an essential (to many Celts), but clothing is not.

In the United States, the Scotch-Irish (=Ulsterpeople) have been here for so long that they have blended into mainstream America, and have lost almost all touch with their homeland. By contrast, the Catholic Irish have only been here for a century or less; thus they are much more conscious of their ethnic heritage.

On top of that, the Catholic Irish have tended, and do tend, towards the verbal skills: they become politicians, journalists, writers, poets, authors, singers, and musicians. By contrast, the Scotch-Irish Ulsterpeople tend to be civil engineers, bridge-builders, road-builders, inventors, generals, soldiers, and the like. Thus, the "Green" Irish position is much more romantic and trendy, while the "Orange" Ulster attitude is regarded as dour, conservative, and rigid.


Although the Catholic-Irish do have the best songs, the best myths, the best legends, the best rhetoric, and the best rhetoricians, there is something about the Scots and Scotch-Irish which draws them to a good fist-fight. Although many folk of Scottish and Scotch-Irish descent are inebriated by Christian hocus-pocus, there are also many others who prefer the rationality of natural science. Just as in the American War of Independence (and the War of 1812), Ulsterpersons are vehement crusaders on both sides.

Thus, as Ernst Zündel's story became more and more widely known to the Canadian general public, his support-network became less and less German, and more and more Scottish. The Scots are an extremely straightforward people. They do not beat around the bush. Whereas in California one would have a "Center for the Physically Handicapped", in Scotland they would have an "Institute for Cripples and Spastics."

And so, with the addition of more and more Scottish-descended Revisionists, we proceeded to grill Raul Hilberg and his cronies about his ludicrous Exterminationist views.

At the time, I was struck by the fact that Hilberg's direct testimony took up only sixteen pages of Preliminary transcript. Thanks to the intervention of (the half-Scottish) Robert Faurisson, and (the Ulster-Scot) David McCalden, his cross-examination took a whopping thirtysix pages of non-stop grilling. I will tell you more about that particular episode next time.

To be continued in the November issue.



The Ontario Free Speech League Box 88, Stn. N, Toronto, Ontario M8V 3S4

Ernst Zündel deserves our support! He can be reached at 206 Carlton Street, Toronto ONT M5A 2L1, CANADA,

or by phone at 416-922-9850.

A KOSHER-KILLING SUGGESTION

by

Allan Callahan

In going through some old news items of the 1940s, I noticed that a Mr. Nathan Schwarz of New York City got into trouble for handing out leaflets criticizing the cruelty of kosher-killing. He was not only assaulted by the followers of Yahweh but spent a night in jail as well.

Later he was put on trial, from which he emerged victorious. His defense was that he was protesting against cruelty to animals.

The most interesting part of the trial was the testimony of a Jewish "expert" who maintained that kosher-killings are not cruel. He said that chickens flopped around when they are slaughtered because they are simply showing muscular reactions, not pain. (He carefully avoided talking about cattle and sheep.)

Now, I am no authority on this matter, but I would be surprised to learn that birds and domestic fowl feel no pain whatsoever when injured or killed. Certainly livestock feel pain aplenty when hurt, and they suffer unnecessarily when kosher-butchers take their lives. Ordinary butchers either kill or stun animals before cutting their throats. On a small scale, the animals are sometimes shot in the head with a small calibre rifle. On a large scale, as in packing-plants, they are hit with a sledgehammer. Jews, however, prefer to slit the throats of animals while they are fully conscious and then let them slowly bleed to death, irregardless of their sufferings.

The idea behind all this, as near as I can make out, is to get more blood out of the meat, and the idea behind *this* is to please Yahweh. The Jewish tribal god doesn't want his followers to have any of the blood, wanting it all for himself. The Old Testament clearly shows that Yahweh is very fond of blood. (The blood drains into the ground from which the Big Jew in the sky secretly extracts it at night, slurping it down.)

This is why the ancient Jews were always making bloody sacrifices to their god. For example, in 2 *Chronicles* vii, 5, we read that: "And King Solomon offered a sacrifice of twenty and two thousand oxen, and a hundred and twenty thousand sheep. So the king and all the people dedicated the house of god."

This adds up to 142,000 animals. It is not likely that Yahwch's

Darlings sacrificed even one percent of that number of oxen and sheep at such a ceremony, which shows what great prevaricators they are. But this is beside the point. The point is that whenever Jews *do* slaughter animals they do it in a barbaric fashion, which is cruelty to dumb animals.

However, if the Jews are right, and kosher-killing is not cruel, then they should have no objection if their own tribesmen are also put to death in this fashion. So, whenever there are Jewish criminals to excute, let a kosher-executioner be brought in to do the job. Have him slit the throats of these Jews and let them flop around and slowly bleed to death. They would "suffer no pain," and it would also please Yahweh.

[Scenes of kosher-butchering can be seen, if you have the stomach for it, on our VHS video cassette "The Eternal Jew," available with English or German narration at \$50 from Liberty Bell Publications.]

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. Which Way Western Man? is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of Which Way Western Man? send \$17.50 for the deluxe, clothbound edition (Order No. 22004), or \$14.00 for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) including postage and handling to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

BOOK REVIEW

Days of Remembrance / A Department of Defense Guide for Commemorative Observance. The front cover also bears the inscriptions: "This book was produced with the assistance and cooperation of the International Center for Holocaust Studies of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith. / OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE." U.S. Government Printing Office, 1988 (207-121-814 / 80028). 96 pages, 27.6 x 21 centimeters. 27 illustrations plus two maps.

By Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

Although reviewers customarily place their value judgements of books toward the ends of their reviews, I can not refrain from stating my evaluation at the outset: This book is an outrage. Although the book takes a strongly biased position on a controversial historical question of great importance, all American taxpayers have been forced to pay for its production. This book is an outrage against those members of our armed forces who are sincerely trying to provide security for the United States. It is an outrage against historical reality. It is an outrage against the most important European member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), namely Germany, without whose coöperation the defense of western Europe would hardly be possible. No book could be better calculated to play into the hands of the Kremlin by causing disunity amongst the member nations of NATO. It repeats propaganda lies against the German nation which have been disproved by competent scholars. How can we Americans expect Germans to tolerate the mendacious abuse against them in this book and still coöperate in the defense of western Europe? Finally, it is an outrage against persons of German extraction who pay taxes to the United States.

The contents of this book are largely a compilation of quotations from the works of various authors, with occasional brief comments on them (pp. 22-28). Prominent amongst these quotations are those from the works of Elie Wiesel, whose improbable tales, self-contradictions, and arrogant reproach of President Reagan on his famous visit to the cemetery in Bitburg have made him the object of derision by revisionists. A work frequently quoted also is Jay Lifton's *The Nazi Doctors*, which was reviewed in *Bulletin* 21.

38 Liberty Bell / September 1988

This book contains a good many samples of the errors and absurdities which have caused many people capable of independent critical thought to suspect that the "Holocaust" material is essentially a fraud propagated with well calculated purposes. Limitations of space allow us to mention only a few examples. On page 18 the statement is made that German forces were "within 20 miles of Moscow" on 22 June 1941. In reality, they were, at their closest, about 500 miles from Moscow on that day, the day on which "Operation Barbarossa" commenced. Such an elementary mistake about the basic history of the Second World War must make the reader question the reliability of the contents of the book as a whole. On the same page there is also repeated the long-disproved absurdity of the claim that fat from corpses of Jews was used to manufacture soap. For the disproof, see The Journal of Historical Review, Volume I, no. 2, pp. 131-139, where the origin of the absurdity is traced to a false interpretation of the initials "RIF" on some (i.e., Reichsstelle für Industrielle Fettversorgung = National Office for the Supply of Industrial Fats) as "Rein Jüdisches Fett" (=purely Jewish fat). On page 39 the claim is made that in one (unspecified) camp 36 ovens were capable of "burning 500 bodies an hour." It takes only a little fifth grade arithmetic to calculate that this means that each unit could reduce to ashes approximately eleven bodies an hours, while even modern crematory units require about two hours for one body. A somewhat similar absurdity occurs on page 68, where it is claimed that in the spring and early summer of 1942 "hundreds of thousands of Jews were being gassed every day at Belzec, Chelmno, Sobibor and Treblinka." Assuming 200,000 Jews every day and a period of 120 days, that would mean the gassing of 24,000,000 Jews, many times the maximal number of Jews under German control, which has been estimated at about 3,800,000. On page 47 the book is spiced up with a sort of Freudian episode, viz., the claim that the camp commander at Flossenburg masturbated at the sight of inmates being tortured. On p.48 the claim is made that bodies were burned in "large trenches" at Auschwitz, which was in an area with a high level of ground water. Bodies require a great deal of fuel and oxygen to reduce them to ashes, so that it would not make sense to burn them in pits, where the supply of oxygen would be limited, even if there were no problem of ground water seeping into the pits. On page 93 it is claimed that the Wannsee Conference held in January 1942 planned "the annihilation of the Jewish people." (Never mind the fact that only a modest fraction of the Jewish people was ever under German control.) Anyone who has ever read carefully the text of the minutes of this conference could note that it contains no such plans,

rather plans for the deportation and employment of Jews. (These minutes are known as the "Wannsce Protokoll," of which only one copy is known out of 30 which were supposedly prepared and classified as secret.) As Prof. Robert Faurisson of the University of Lyons has pointed out, the Wannsee-Protokoll even contemplates the eventual release of the inmates in the phrase, "bei Freilassung." Also on page 93 there is even confusion about the dates of the uprising in the Warsaw ghetto, which started on 19 April 1943.

The map on page 33 claims that the following numbers of Jews from various countries were "murdered":

450,000	Hungary	40,000	Belgium
210,000	Germany and Austria	26,000	Yugoslavia
105,000	Holland	14,000	Bulgaria
90,000	France	8,000	Italy
80,000	Bohemia and Moravia	1,000	Luxembourg
75,000	Slovakia	900	Norway
54,000	Greece	1,253,900	

Of the six million or so Jews commonly claimed by Zionists to have been "murdered" while in German captivity, the other nearly five million thus claimed were living in countries occupied or partly occupied by the USSR before June 1941. During or after the war, the Baltic republics, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, central Germany, Hungary, Poland, and Romania were all made part of the Soviet empire with little real sovereignty. For that reason we are dealing with statistics pertaining to Jewish mortality during the war which originate from areas held by Communists, who have a strong interest in exaggerating statistics on alleged German crimes in order to make obscure the crimes which the USSR itself committed, such as the Katyn massacre, the genocidal starvation of millions of Ukrainians in the early 1930s, etc. (For an example of the Communist falsification of statistics, see pp.98-106 of Alfred Schickel's Vergessene Zeitgeschichte, which was reviewed in Bulletin 18.) Approximately three million Jews migrated to Palestine after 1939 in addition to huge numbers of them who migrated to various other countries throughout the world. The 90,000 mentioned on the map on page 33 in the case of France are just a little more than one-tenth of the total living in France according to the Wannsee-Protokoll of 20 January 1942. In view of the fact that the Jewish populations of western Europe were aging as a result of low reproductive rates, natural attrition could easily account for the 90,000 deaths if the number given in the Wannsee-Protokoll, 865,000, is correct. We also know from a Zionist source (Maurice Bisgyer's Challenge and Encounter, 1967) that approximately as many Jews were living after the

war in the territory of the German Federal Republic as there were in 1939 (see Bulletin 9). Even Days of Remembrance, page 33, concedes in fine type under the map: "There is no way to establish an accurate figure for the total number of Jews murdered in the Final Solution." Indeed! If the real number of Jews who died from one cause or another while in German captivity could ever be determined, it would probably be a quite modest one in comparison with the number of Germans murdered during the expulsions after the war (see Bulletin 18) or the number of Ukrainians deliberately starved to death in the early 1930s by the Communists. The chaotic conditions and corpses of victims of diseases in such camps as Bergen-Belsen at the time they were liberated by advancing Allied armies furnished shocking pictures, but what fraction of six million do these corpses represent? Such conditions were largely caused by the paralysis of transportation facilities by Allied bombings and the general searcity of food in Europe as a result of the Allied blockade. For a detailed analysis of the difficult subject of the extent of Jewish mortality during the Second World War, see Walter Sanning, The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry (1983). For a brief summary of the evidence against the Extermination Thesis in general, see Bulletins 14 and 15.

The historical distortions which Days of Remembrance projects are even more a result of what it does not contain than what it does contain. The central fault of this book lies in the fact that it presents only one side of an important argument and that the book was published at the expense of all American taxpayers. Many books written by Zionist authors and by lackeys of Zionists are recommended in the sections entitled "Further Reading" (pp.32, 38, 53, 60, 70, 83), but not one revisionist title of the growing number of them concerning the Extermination Thesis is included. There is no mention of the genocidal threats against the German people in such a book as Germany Must Perish (1941) [available from Liberty Bell PubLications], the massacres of the German population in the Bromberg area in September 1939, the hunger blockade of the European continent from 1939 to 1945, the fact that partisan warfare (p.81) and typhus epidemics (see Bulletin 13) were important reasons for the decision, finally, to intern the Jews 2 1/2 years after the outbreak of the war, the irresponsible demand for the unconditional surrender of Germany, the useless bombing of Dresden, etc. As we know today, the Germans' fears of genocide were well founded, since about 3,000,000 of them died at the end of the war and during the following year or so in conjunction with the brutal expulsion actions (see Bulletin 23). There is no mention of the shameful Allied Operation Keelhaul, in which hundreds of thousands of anti-Com-

munist Russians were turned over to almost certain death at the hands of Stalin. No mention is made in the book of our interning persons of Japanese descent within a few weeks after the attack on Pearl Harbor, presumably for reasons of military security. By contrast, German authorities were so dilatory about interning Jews that as late as April 1943, some 32 months after the outbreak of the war, there were still so many left in the Warsaw ghetto that they were able to hold off German military forces for weeks during their uprising. There is no mention of the fact that Hitler's hostility toward Jews was partly a result of American influences and that eugenic sterilization (to which the book makes a number of references) was not introduced in Germany until 1933, long after the practice had commenced in the United States (see Bulletins 5 and 21). Were the compilers of this book to ignorant to have pointed out such facts or were they simply too dishonest to have done so? Although it is argued (pp.39 and 71) that the suffering of Jews in Europe during the war was unique, no mention is made of the genocidal, deliberate starvation of millions of Ukrainians during the early 1930s by the Communists (see Bulletin 7), for example. Finally, Days of Remembrance avoids any objective analysis of the main reasons for the hostility toward Jews so prevalent throughout Europe after 1917; the perception that Communism, with its gross cruelty, was essentially a Jewish phenomenon and the perceived economic gains which Jews made at the expense of their host populations as a result of the hyper-inflations in central and eastern Europe.

Although such nonsense and questionable, biased material as that which has been enumerated above is commonly contained in other Zionist publications, it is quite frightening to contemplate their occurrence in a book published by "our" Department of Defense, a book which even includes strong recommendations for it over the signatures of President Ronald Reagan and Secretary of Defense Frank Carlucci. These recommendations (pp.2 and 3) are in the form of letters dated 8 February 1988 and accompanied by the smiling portraits of these two gentlemen. There can thus be no doubt that President Reagan and Secretary Carlucci must be held responsible for the publication of this book, with its prejudiced, irresponsible and mendacious contents.

Another frightening aspect of this book is the detailed set of instructions for indoctrinating U.S. military personnel (pp.6-21), which even include sample plans for a ceremony. The compilers of this book are so confident that such indoctrination of military personnel will be extended over a period of many years that they even include observation dates projected for the years 1988 to 2000 (p.16). A sharp bone which keeps sticking in the throats of the Zionists, ' however, are the silence and inaction by Franklin D. Roosevelt. Not only that, important Jews close to Roosevelt, such as Roseman, Lehman, Baruch, and Frankfurter showed little concern about the "Holocaust" alleged to have been taking place at the time (pp.67-68). Could it be that these men, with their access to all sorts of intelligence reports, had no "Holocaust" with which to be concerned? Roosevelt, with his nearly pathological hatred of Germans, would certainly have shouted into many microphones about a "Holocaust" if one had actually been going on. Why, too, did Pius XII maintain his famous "silence" about the alleged extermination action?

The Zionists cannot conceal their joy at the effectiveness of their shrewd, ongoing psychological destruction of one of the greatest of Aryan nation, Germany. In one of the most frightening passages in the whole book, "Rudolf, son of a Nazi, interviewed by Peter Sichrovsky," declares that he had decided to put an end to his noble lineage by never having any children as a result of his feeling of guilt (pp.85-86). Germany, in fact, has such a low birthrate that during the coming years its aging population will shrink rapidly, to be replaced by immigrants from many lands.

Why was Days of Remembrance published at a time when the world is aghast at the almost daily criminal behavior of the Jewish state in Palestine? Is the purpose of the book to gain some sort of sympathy and understanding for Jewish members of the armed forces? That could hardly be the case because at present there are extremely few Jewish members of the armed forces. Was the purpose of the book to combat the traditionally hostile, widespread attitude toward and distrust of Jews on the part of the American officer corps, attitudes intensified by the Israeli attack on the Liberty? Was the purpose to justify our rôle in Europe during and after the Second World War, a war which left nearly half of Europe (west of the western boundaries of the USSR as of 1938) under the tyranny of the Communists and which left the nations which had fought Communism in economic and physical ruin? Or was there a more immediate purpose? Is the Department of Defense contemplating the possibility that our troops will be ordered to defend the criminal Jewish state in Palestine, which has come to be such an abomination to so many Americans? Whatever the motivations for publishing this book at the expense of the American taxpayers, its publication calls for a loud protest. Is the publication of this book an act which none dare call treason? We shall probably be justified at some future time in considering the publication of this book a major blemish on the eight-year administration of Ronald Reagan.

THE NORTHERN PRESS

A Prophecy

A prophecy may be stated in such a manner that the prophet is unaware of his prophecy. What was given as a straight-forward statement, can, in retrospect, have a far deeper significance. This is illustrated in a short film produced by UFA for home consumption in Germany in 1942.

The film, titled "Fahnen-Junker," shows the training of the officer candidates at an Alpine school for mountain troops. The film opens with a group of young men, skis over their shoulders, marching and singing. It could have been filmed at a university, but then we are shown these young men at various stages of military training, running, swimming, skiing, and in a combat exercise in their field uniforms. What could have passed for a group of young college men, became a group of seasoned veterans. Men who knew well the sting of battle, for nearly every tunic is decorated with assault and wound badges and many boast the Iron Cross. These, the viewer now knows, are combat veterans. German soldiers recommended by their commanders for this rugged training which produced the finest mountain troops ever.

The prophecy came in a brief scene showing a political indoctrination class. The captain asks his class why the struggle, meaning WW II, was so long and so hard. One of the Fahnen-Junker, officer candidates, rose and said, "The war is an ideological war. Ideological wars, as history shows, have always been long lasting and especially hard." The Fahnen-Junker believed he was speaking of the war against the Jew doctrine of communism. He was wrong.

We do not fault the young man for his answer, but it was wrong. The ideological war was one which was to be waged within the family of Aryan peoples. The ideology was not National Socialism vs. Communism, but racial purity vs. racial ruin. The young man can easily be forgiven for his lack of foresight. Many of Germany's racial laws of the 1930s had been based upon similar laws then observed in the United States. In the 1930s, the U.S. had the most sound racial and genetic laws in the world. Miscegenation was illegal in many states, and morally reprehensible in all. Legal sterilization was required in many states for those with serious genetic defects and with a record of certain criminal behavior. The rape of a white woman by a black meant execution, while today the nigger is probably patted on his woolly thatch and the woman damned for attempting to deny the nigger his "rights."

With the election of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, the great champion of the Jew, the racial barriers began to come down and the Jew was placed in the highest levels of government—executive, legislative and judicial—and has remained there to this day. Gone was the honest, scientific approach to race and genetic issues, and, to take its place, deception, coercion and lies—all under the banner of "equality." It did not take place overnight, as the American people would not have permitted the destruction of a system which most regarded as sound and necessary for a healthy population. From 1933 to today, the process has proceeded at an ever accelerating pace and now we find those who believe in racial purity and the irrefutable evidence for the genetic cause for many of mankind's woes labeled with the horrible tag of "racist."

The young man filmed in that class would have thought it absurd to assume the Aryan people would one day be so inoculated with the Jew virus they would be tottering upon the brink of racial extinction, but such is the case. As he spoke, there was not one Aryan nation which willingly accepted Jews into their lands. True, many had opened their doors to those Jews who had left Germany, but it was a policy of political expediency, not one popularly accepted by the people of the countries concerned. Had Roosevelt, and his co-conspirator Winston S. Churchill, tried as hard to prevent war as he did to bring it on, Hitler would have resettled the Jew in the east and Europe would have been free of them. The North American continent would not have seen the massive influx of these unwanted scum, and our population, our government and our daily life would today be far more wholesome.

The prophecy, that ideological wars have historically been long and hard, is certainly true. We have been engaged in it since Adolf Hitler first raised the swastika banner as a rallying symbol for the Aryan peoples of Germany. Though the Jew may today be gloating over his apparent victory at the destruction of European civilization, he sits upon a precarious perch. The focus of racial unity is no longer centered in Germany, it has spread to every nation where Aryan folk live, and today we find our Aryan Warriors girding for battle. Our young no longer accept the "equality" myth and realize they have no genetic future if left in the hands of the Jew. They look at the despicable racial misfits dominating television and motion pictures and realize what is in store for them. The mongrel race is what the Jew seeks, for the Jew knows the mental and moral capacities of a mongrel is usually less than that of a Jew, thus a mongrel population is easily subjected. Those Aryans who are near any large, once-European city can vouch for the overwhelming mass of genetic scum which oozes

over those doomed enclaves of contemporary Jew-culture. It is a terrifying look into the future, for if we, as Aryan Warriors, do not halt the rapid process of genetic disintegration, our future population will be mongrel, the Aryan will be no more. The Aryan will have been genetically lost in the cesspool of the mud races, and we Aryan Warriors will not permit this to happen.

The distributors of "Fahnen-Junker," International Historical Films, did not intend this to be a prophetic offering but, rather, a look at the past from the perspective of those who lived in that past. For the racialist, who bears the honored title of Aryan Warrior, it is a clarion call to arms. The time is short and the struggle will be hard fought. We Aryans will emerge as victors, regaining control of our race and lands—or we will die—there is no alternative. Our first step must be separation from the Jew, it must be soon and it must be complete. The Jew's influence over Aryan lands and peoples must end. The Jew has been driven from our lands in the past, it must now happen again.

There is no equality in racial selection. The strong prevail. Nature has no concern for second best, nor should we.

If Nature does not wish that weaker individuals should mate wi-n the stronger, she wishes even less that a superior race should intermingle with an inferior one; because in such a case all her efforts, throughout hundreds of thousands of years, to establish an evolutionary higher stage of being, may thus be rendered futile.

Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf

Dukakis Threat

* * * * *

From time to time events shape in such a manner that the proverbial "handwriting on the wall" looms in startling clarity. Three things came to our attention recently which, if taken together, show a lively, though short, future for the remnants of our Aryan folk.

Item one: Armand Hammer, the Jew who has for decades provided all sorts of the corrupting worldly goods of Western productivity to the Soviet Union, at a good profit, told an interviewer he expects to see at least 80,000 Jews annually leaving Russia in the years to come.

Item two: Michael Dukakis, the closet Jew from Brookline, Mass. now running for president, will bring his Jew wife, children, and all of the related horrors of their "religious" practices into the White House if elected this coming November. Kitty Dukakis, the contender for the

"First Lady" title, has said she fully intends to practice her religion in the White House.

Item three: A scientific study done by a research team hired by Ernst Zündel has found no evidence to support the Jew's claim that gas chambers were located in the major German concentration camps in Poland to kill Jews. They did find residual traces of Zyklon-B, used for extermination of lice and other vermin, at the precise places where Jew and Gentile alike said sanitation workers had gas chambers to disinfect bedding, clothing, and other such items. Aside from a report issued by sources friendly to Mr. Zündel, there has been no mention of this evidence, or lack of evidence, by either the press or the academic world.

To tie the three items together, if elected, a Dukakis administration will welcome all Jews who a very smart Gorbachev is anxious to see out of the Soviet Union; our academic world and our various "news" media, who take no notice of an event of historical significance such as the Zyklon-B report detrimental to the Chosen Ones, will not report a massive influx of the genetic scum into the U.S.A. and the Star of David will officially be recognized by the President and his family and elevated to the position of honor now held by the Christian Cross. The subjugation of the peoples of the United States will be complete and the isolated pockets of racial and religious resistance will be given the Whidbey Island napalm treatment found effective by our Zionist Occupation Government to silence isolated pockets of Aryan protest.

Should Dukakis be elected, we will see the final and complete subjugation of the United States by the Jew. Not one voice will be raised, aside from a few who will survive for a short time in our Aryan community. The howling Hebes of the East will at last have found a broad highway open to the New Jerusalem; no, not Israel, the United States! Over 80 percent of all of the Hebes who have left Russia in their phony quest for religious freedom have wound up gobbling at the public trough in the United States, and, as we all know, Jews move in and out of the United States at their pleasure.

The evidence presented at Ernst Zündel's second trial in Toronto by the scientist who conducted the study seeking evidence of residual Zyklon-B has been met with absolute silence. Only the historian David Irving, already counted out in the academic world due to his insistence upon publishing truth rather than Jewish propaganda, has had the honesty and intellectual courage to accept the evidence as the final nail in the coffin of the Holocaust myth.

ياھ ر

There were no gas chambers used by the Germans in WWII to gas Jews. It did not happen. Had it taken place, the residual traces of the gas would be extant and would have been detected by the team of scientists who did the investigation. Had the investigation been to the Jew's favor, the world would have heard in record time. We, in our Aryan racial movement, have long known that the truth is never to be told concerning the lies of the Jew. The Ann Frank "diary" was proven forged by forensic experts in Germany years ago, but the work is still accepted as a divine message and is so taught to Aryan school children.

Facts which support the truth of our racial world view will never be published as long as the Jew is at the helm of every major channel for the dissemination of information. Hitler knew that and the Germans of his era kicked them out, we Aryans of today will probably not have that opportunity unless we act today.

With the Jew in the White House we will see a rapid change in the prison population, for the scum so dear to the hearts of the Jews will be released to prey upon what is left of the stinking carcass of the United States and the Aryans will be herded into the prisons. Should a gas chamber be physically possible to handle large masses of people, you can bet the Jew will find a means of building it to exterminate the Aryans.

Doom and gloom? Not exactly, for we do have an opportunity to work within the present political framework to expose the Dukakis campaign for what it is, a blatant attempt by communist Jews to secure a death grip on the United States government. If this means working within the political apparatus of George Bush, so be it. In our life and death struggle the end justifies the means. If we must link forces with a lesser evil to survive, then we will link forces. Until our Aryan folk realize they are marked for genetic extinction and take the high road of honor to insure their survival, then we few who hold our racial world view as sacred must use what ever weapons come to hand. We can expect no change in current national policy should Bush gain the presidency, but it is a lesser evil than that which will engulf this land if Dukakis and his Kosher Hoard sweep into the White House. We will see a Jew or a fellow traveler in ever appointed position and the Jew lobby of congress will insure their confirmations.

There are a few within our family of National Socialists who shun any form of political participation. They sit back in some mystical woodland retreat and await the flowing funds of their followers to support them as they prepare for the Second Coming. Well, there will be no "second coming" if Dukakis wins. Time will have run out for the

48 Liberty Bell / September 1988

Aryan in the United States and probably Canada as well. The Jew will sit atop this rotting pile of humanity until every cent of profit has been obtained and then the Jew will move on to the next victim.

Each who bear the title of Aryan Warrior within their soul must find a means of combating this pending catastrophe. Use every means available, letters to your local newspaper, discussions with your friends. It will be a battle which each of you must wage alone. There is but little unity amongst our racial folk and it is your innate sense of racial reason which will light your path. Each Aryan Warrior will1 see that path from a different perspective, but the path must be followed if we are to survive. Aryan Warriors must now step boldly forth to do battle with the massive forces of evil which are ranged against the Aryan.

The National Socialist Movement must see to it that at least in our own country the mortal enemy is recognized and that the fight against him may be a beacon light pointing to a new and better period for other nations as well as showing the way of salvation for Aryan humanity in the struggle for its existence.

Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf.

* * * * *

Why National Socialism?

Northern Press recently received a letter from one of the national leaders of our Aryan struggle asking some pertinent questions concerning our belief in Adolf Hitler's National Socialism which was born and came to power in Germany in the post-WW I period and lasted until his death in April of 1945. In answering his questions, we may also answer some of yours.

Let me quote from the letter: "I believe our religious ideas are quite similar. However my evaluation of history does not bring me to the idea that Hitler was near the champion of the overall white race that you seem to believe. Our situation has almost no parallel to what was happening in Germany. This does not mean I do not believe true National Socialism is generally a good program for whites or that I would trash the age old swastika. One side has vilified Hitler for their reasons, the other side deifies Hitler due to his anti-Jew position. I believe both such views miss the mark of the real Hitler."

As this 20th Century draws to a close, why should we remember and venerate the man whose government brought Germany to total collapse and destruction at the end of 1945? Why should we, the torch bearers for our Aryan folk, still maintain loyalty and affection tor Adolf Hitler, a man damned in nearly all history books and certainly in contemporary press and television reports?

Why then do we Aryans continue to read his monumental book, *Mein Kampf*, and to look upon the years of National Socialism government as an inspiration? It is because Hitler created a racial state and defined and lived the Weltanschauung of the Aryan, he gave us the world view which, to insure the survival of our race, we too must live. That world view is simple. It is based upon blood and soil—the pure blood of our race and living in harmony with nature. Racial blood free from the deadly contamination created by miscegenation, and a soil, or world, free from the contamination of industrial pollutants and the commercial rape of our natural resources now threatening our globe. A world view which embraces each branch of the Aryan family and seeks to promote the advancement of our race by the encouraged reproduction of the best of our stock, the men and women whom we call our Aryan Warriors.

Hitler further developed this world view from the general to the personal level. What that means is this, each Aryan Warrior views individual, political, and economic actions by one standard-is it in the best interest of my race? Those actions and peoples found to be harmful to the race are avoided. It is a view of racial exclusiveness. For those who hold the racial world view life becomes one of harmony with nature, for the Aryan knows the place which our race holds is completely dependent upon natural selection. The Aryan did not spring from a mythical Hebrew deity's whim to "create" a world and people. Each race, just as each individual, is the end product of a long, long evolutionary struggle. If you look upon the human populations of the world as being some haphazard thing, then you are not a racist. This is the view of the liberals of this world who see no value in race and seek to interbreed the various races into one downbred mass of chocolatecolored mulattoes. If you look upon mankind as something which is still developing to its ultimate potential, then you are a racist. A racist sees in each race an evolutionary expression which must continue for that race to reach its fullest potential. It is in this concept that the racial message of Mein Kampf is as valid today as it was when it was written, for the racial truth expounded by Adolf Hitler is timeless. It is the only expression of racial unity and purpose which serves as a unifying beacon for all of the many and diversified elements of our Aryan family.

Hitler joined, and then led, the National Socialist German Workers Party, the NSDAP. The party was a vehicle for political ac-

tion. The NSDAP was tailored to meet the goals and objectives relevant to the place and time of its creation. The NSDAP, as a political party with any chance of success today, is dead. The party's name is still used by some in the racial movement and one organization is using it quite effectively in bringing light where darkness exists in Germany today. That organization is called The New Order and its overseas branch is the NSDAP-AO, the AO being an abbreviation for overseas organization. None doing the work in the New Order can realistically feel the platform of the 1920's and 30's would be of any political use in Germany today. While the name is retained, the party's objectives are different, save for one aspect, racial purity.

In reading "Mein Kampf," and in Hitler's many public statements, we find National Socialism was not an export product. Hitler intended his program to unify the Germanic peoples of Europe. Where Hitler had respect for others of the Aryan family, such as Nordics, Celts and Anglo-Saxons, it was the Germanic peoples living in Germany and central Europe whom he hoped to unite. It is his message of racial unity and purpose under a racial government which we of Northern Press feel is valid today just as it was when Hitler espoused it during his life. Hitler did not create the racial message, he lived it and preached it as he had received it from others. Aryans have a long, written history of espousing racial purity and Adolf Hitler was but one of a long line with the same message, "The Aryans must maintain their racial purity."

This brings us to the swastika as a symbol of racial unity. The swastika has been used by the Aryan from the earliest times and is found on all sorts of surviving artifacts from ancient Greece to the British Isles. The swastika represents unity as seen by the symbolism of the sun. It is a form of the "sun wheel" which is expressed in many ways. The swastika was not "invented" by Adolf Hitler, though it was Hitler who turned it at a 45 degree angle from the horizontal and vertical orientation of its arms. Hitler felt this gave a more dramatic effect, and he was right. National Socialists retain the swastika and respect it as an expression of age-old Aryan unity.

Finally, yes, Hitler is damned by most, but he is also loved deeply by his contemporary followers. He is damned by the Jew and the Jew dominated liberal world. Hitler's views and actions were the antithesis of everything the Jew signifies. Hitler stood for honor, loyalty and the German folk and soil. He sought to drive the Jew from Germany because at the root of every corrupt and degrading practice he found a Jew. He demanded Germany be returned to the Germans. He wanted no domination of the professions, business, education, entertainment, or the news and publishing media by the Jew's alien Weltanschauung. He wanted all that was German to reflect Aryan values. Nature does not recognize the Jewish concept of "equality," nature only recognizes the strong, and so did Hitler. For the Jew to dominate, the Jew must subvert, and the process of subversion was well along in Germany. Though far advanced, it was not nearly as advanced as the Jewish corruption of contemporary western society. The Jew, just as an untreated cancer, metastasized from the point of infection in Germany and has, today, spread throughout the Aryan world. Far from eradicating the Jew in some mythical "holocaust," Hitler's attempt to move them elsewhere, caused their spread, and today they infect all western nations.

The Jew has built an entire industry on the holocaust myth. The Jew has invented some of the most preposterous stories since the Old Testament and has used them to blackmail Germany and the United States into supporting their terrorist state of Israel. The "guilt" for the treatment of the Jew is now broadened to include all who profess to be Christian, for the Jew has ridden upon the backs of the Christians from the time that religion was first conceived. It is no coincidence that the Christian hero was a Jew, and all who are listed as the cult's early propagators were Jews. Thus, many National Socialists are not of that faith. An Aryan Warrior sees nothing of value coming from the Jew and contact with Jews, their products and services are avoided.

A final thought, and this concerns the "socialism" of our name. The socialist program of Adolf Hitler was neither the socialism of the Marxist, nor the Jew espoused communist doctrine. National Socialism is an economic system based upon racial loyalty where each produces that which is essential to the well being and progress of his race and is within the individual's capacity. This may be a product or a service and the Aryan is honor bound to produce the very best which he is capable of producing. That he may realize a profit for his efforts is accepted, for it is work which sustains the Aryan family. The social welfare system in Hitler's Germany was dedicated to returning each member of society to productivity. None capable of work was denied work. Care was provided for those who could not care tor themselves. No underclass of welfare recipients, such as is seen today in the west, was created. It is unfortunate the ravages of WW II did not permit the fruition of the National Socialist state, for it would have shown the world a nation living in peace and plenty, an example of racial harmony and progress worthy of emulation.


National Socialism is today honored within our Aryan family, even though its concepts are as applicable to the Negro as to the Aryan, to the Asian as to the Australian aborigine. National Socialism seeks the

52 Liberty Bell / September 1988

realization of the potential within the genetic pool of one's race and consciously seeks the benefits of racial selection by the reproduction of the finest elements of that genetic pool. National Socialism is honor and loyalty. Honor in the worth of one's word and in the loyalty to self, family and folk—unto death. National Socialism is honoring nature by protecting that fragile balance which she has created to enable this planet to bring forth life in abundance. National Socialism is placing the collective good of the race above any individual and the individual accepting and honoring that concept. Above all, National Socialism is a joyous way of life.

Personal observation of Germans in their homeland over a period of many years and discussions with them about their life under National Socialism has given this writer a picture of a people united in purpose during the years of Hitler's government. Existing films of that time show a happy, prosperous people, though the films today are dismissed as being propaganda. However, discussing the period with those who had lived it did show that most were not only happy but also materially better off under National Socialism than they had been before. It was a time of active, productive programs for all ages, for economic plans enabling the purchase of homes and other material things which had never before been possible, and, above all, a time of full employment. The war brought a change in their physical life, but the faith in their leader, Adolf Hitler, remains to this day, for they remember his time as one of unity, accomplishment, and joy.

The filmed scenes were not contrived, happiness was the hallmark of life under the swastika banner. Northern Press feels that joy can be had again, if we but accept the racial concept of Aryan purity and purge from our lives the parasites who have come to destroy us. We National Socialists, just as did Adolf Hitler, look to our children and the young men and women of our race to insure our survival and the advancement of our Aryan culture. With this goal our Aryan Warrior of today, just as did the NSDAP counterpart before, can truly say, "Tomorrow Belongs To Me!"



54 Liberty Bell / September 1988

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Regarding Mr. Taylor's article "What Makes Ronnie Run?", tell him to get Dan Moldea's book *Dark Victory*.

It tells all about Ronnie's Mafia connections and early career with his beloved Jewish masters in Sheenywood, California. The book was published last year; especially interesting is Ronnie's testimony before a grand jury, which is reported verbatim.

> Sincerely, Dr. H.M., Washington

The goyim (Gentile cattle) know so little about distributing leaflets to alter public opinion and to help *Liberty Bell* grow.

* * * * *

Several of the Liberty Bell leaflets deserve special consideration. The Liberty Bell version of "Was There Really A Holocaust?" by Ed Fields (editor of The Thunderbolt, P.O. Box 1211, Marietta, GA 30061) is an excellent starter. "The Secret Strength of Communism" is a real blockbuster also. "Proud To Be A Racist" is good for the KKK-type crowd, but it does get liberals a bit bent out of shape if you are so inclined to deal with the unthinkers that the Jews have brainwashed. We would also add to the list the leaflet called "Anti-Semitism in the USSR—Another Jewish Hoax."

The small leaflets called "The Hidden Hand" as well as "Quotes Without Comment" are super when you need something small. "Quotes Without Comment" fits well into *Mein Kampf*, which you might find in the local bookstore (putting leaflets in *Mein Kampf* generally applies only to the U.S. as the Jews in most all of the other Jewocracies of the world have managed to have all books banned that tell the truth about the Jews).

Where should we put these leaflets? Personally, I always carry a few into post offices! I just set them down on the counter when I go to pick up my mail. When I am traveling, I always go into a postoffice whenever I see one. I put leaflets on the cars next to me when I go into a shopping center. Watch the letters to the editor in the local liepapers—start sending the people who you might think can be deprogrammed a bunch of leaflets as well as copies of *Liberty Bell*. You don't have to give them a return address as they can send them back to you—they are stuck with them and thus have to read them. I sometimes include the Truth Missions Hot-Line Recording number as well,

(213-640-2006). Library books! Visit the Holocaust section of your library and discreetly place some leaflets in the books. Any kind of book in the library is fair game, but history books are best.

I find it a waste of time to give leaflets to the mild-mannered, lilylivered type whites. Type "A" people are better converts. One thing is for sure. Reading *Liberty Bell* publications over a period of time will put you in the sphere of real knowledge, a knowledge that many find distressing, but refusal to deal with this knowledge and take action will result in the GENOCIDE of the White Race. This Jewish plan of Jewish genocide via miscegenation was put into motion some time ago.

A face-to-face confrontation with your personal convert prospect is to be welcomed. Dispense with this "Holocaust" nonsense and the people would likely easily stomp on these insects, The Masters of Lies, The World Destroyers, The Hatemongers, The Hook-Nose Bandits, The Children of Darkness, The Howling Hebes—The Living Dead.

Sincerely, S.P., South Carolina

* * * * *

I'm sorry to hear of your misfortunes but am happy to see your May *Liberty Bell*. I really missed your publication. I'd like to have another copy of your April issue. I enclose a check; keep the change as a contribution.

Some good news up here in Jew York. The faggot mayor of NYC has a clear case of Kaposis Sarcoma on his face. AIDS, in other words. The papers talk of cancerous warts, but it is as clear a case of AIDS as I have ever seen. The papers are usually very careful to hide what cases of KS look like, so people are still ignorant of the signs.

A recent medical conference in NY produced the delightful news that 7 1/2 million Americans are now AIDS infected and 20% of the population of nigger Africa. Early this year the order went out to the news media to play down the AIDS story. Lots of pictures were run of people hugging AIDS carriers to show the public what the approved attitude toward the plague carriers is.

Meanwhile, nothing is being done about the thousands of diseased. perverts who are deliberately trying to spread the plague through sex and poisoning. Remember, these creatures are doctors, dentists, blood bank workers, food handlers, etc. Hundreds of whites have already been poisoned by these creatures. Others have killed themselves after receiving false positive tests from crooked doctors. The Liberal response is to pass laws preventing "discrimination" against plague carriers. I don't have to be a prophet to predict that these laws will be universally ignored. Already I know of dozens of cases of AIDS-spreaders attacked and murdered by angry people, some of them in the very town I live in...

Can you imagine it, Reagan is presented to niggers and leftists as a horrible white fascist! The reality is that the *two* leaders of the Communist world just met in Moscow.

Victor Suverov, in his book *Inside the Soviet Army* reveals that the Jewish spy apparatus, when stealing the A-bomb secrets for Russia, only stole the secrets of the miserable American "gun-type" bomb. Thus the Soviets were stuck with this miserable weapon that weighed 10,000 lbs and had only 1/10th the power of the German A-bombs that the U.S. switched to after Nagasaki. This means that, during the Cuban missile crisis, the USSR was totally helpless. For 35 years the Russian atomic warfare threat was a total bluff! The U.S. could have destroyed Russia at any time without loss to itself.

The truth seems to be that Russia was given just enough atomic power to pose a fake nuclear threat to the U.S. and allow the Liberals to justify their policy of surrender to Communism. Certainly the behavior of the Liberal rulers of America indicates the USSR is no threat to them. Indeed, it is their friend and ally. But, to be sure, they retain the power to incinerate Russia at will.

> Yours truly, R.S., New York State

In Chicago in 1919 (summertime, of course), there were race riots of tremendous proportions. A startling feature of these particular riots was the rampage WHITES went on in the nigger sections of town. What's this? The White Race defending itself? Quite peculiar! Even more gratifying is that the good White folk of Chicago not only defended themselves but went on the defensive as well.

* * * * *

I see this healthy attitude manifesting itself (to a small degree) again among the White minority in Jew York City. I will go so far as to predict that if the riots the Jew and nigger agitators want so badly do occur, the animals will be repulsed at the borders of the White communities, and the perpetrators will be hunted down in their filthy ghettoes and dealt swift justice.

The primary reason for the success of the riots in the 60s was the massive bombardment of Jew nonsense resulting in the Hippies, Beatniks, what-have-yous. After the whole younger element of this country was pacified, the niggers were let out of their cages. The only healthy

56 Liberty Bell / September 1988

J.M., Washington

group in the city were the construction trade workers, and, of course, back then they were all 100% White.

We may have lost the construction trades, but almost every other aspect is a lot healthier than it was.

One example is what is happening at colleges and universities. The 60's Communist campus agitator is now a tenured professor and the students today are not going for his line of you know what at all. The happy increase of racial incidents started by Whites on campuses all across the country will attest to that. And in twenty years these budding Racial Warriors will be the professors. Have a child today and he stands a better chance of receiving a real education when he gets to college than he has in a long time.

Normal "blue collar" people are on the whole much healthier than they have been. They will protect what is theirs. They may not [yet!] be National Socialists like myself, but the seed has been planted.

In anticipation of possible riots, I have been upgrading home security. This has taken all my capital, which is why my orders to you have slacked off lately. All is completed so things should get back to normal. My best regards to you and your family.

> Flamme Empor! K.F.H., New York State

* * * * *

"The White Race is under attack and is already pretty well undermined."

These words of Oswald Spengler's appeared over fifty years ago in his book *The Hour of Decision*." They are even more significant today than they were then.

The White man seems defenceless against the powerful and intense psychological warfare that is being waged against him. Obstacles are thrown in his path if he tries to emigrate from one White nation to another, yet his own country is being flooded with racial minorities that he is forced to subsidize. If he protests, the cry of "racism" is raised against him which in itself is a natural and healthy reaction on the part of anyone who takes pride in his race.

A race of people can endure much but it cannot survive miscegenation. To survive, a race must remain itself and it must breed its best.

Race is everything. As one writer expressed it: "Without race there is only the slave, stealing his master's proud name, wearing his master's clothes and living in his master's crumbling castle."

Very truly yours,

Re: Letter to the Editor by T.F., p.50, July Liberty Bell.

* * * * *

My condolences to T.F. "of German blood" up in Wisconsin, who doesn't "care much for the Irish and their minority mentality." George Washington liked them, and cared a lot for them. They made up between 82 and 85% of the Revolutionary Army at Valley Forge, after the English "American" members of this army deserted in droves (what else can you expect from the world's greatest cowards?), and were hiding in every rabbit hole within a fifty mile radius of camp. No doubt you could have pulled a Covington or two out of one of them. So T.F., please take note: it was an Irish Revolutionary Army which crossed the Delaware River with George Washington, and defeated the English at Yorktown, thus laying the foundations for this once proud and formerly great republic.

Of course, many would agree with his views, particularly the English and the Jew, whose hatred for the Irish is exceeded only by their pathological hatred for Germany, Germans, and everyone "of German blood." But for everyone like T.F., there must be at least a thousand who would say, "I don't much care for Germans and their...". This, thanks to an unprecedented, unremitting campaign of vicious lies and venomous hate propaganda directed against Germany and Germans by Jews and Englishmen worldwide, beginning in 1914, and continuing today.

Minority mentality? Centuries before the Germans knocked them from first place in the Hate Sweepstakes operated by *the Jews* and their English serfs, the Irish were the most despised, ridiculed, and detested minority in every English-speaking nation in the world, including this one—the one their ancestors fought and *died* to found. So they probably had a good reason to develop a "Minority mentality." Could this be the subconscious reason why T.F. finds the use of a Gaelic slogan acceptable, in spite of his distaste for its originators?

Long observation has led me to the conclusion that the Gael and the Teuton share to unpleasant character defects: big mouths and small minds. The confirmation makes them their own worst enemy.

> Yours truly, H.M., M.D., Washington

* * * * *

Much has appeared in your letters section concerning Harold Covington's *The March Up Country*. Many would agree that it is a brilliant work. It really is not. It is more a book of uncommon common sense. And it is the work of someone who understands the failure of the past fifty or so years in the movement.

Covington's book is a manual to avert the coming Genocide of the White Race. It is THE most important book in the movement today. But it is not a book for beginners. One must first understand the problem, and that requires reading such books as Which Way, Western Man?, America's Decline, by Dr. Oliver, The World Conquerors, and the magnificent book White Power by George Lincoln Rockwell.

Covington makes a very vital point in his book. He says, regarding the terrible knowledge about the Jews, that most people cannot assimilate this terrible knowledge and we shouldn't try with them. The *goyim* that are especially passive, weak, and lily-livered are a waste of our time. And attempting to convert them will just give them the "bug eyes" and make us feel very frustrated. If we can stomach the frustration, it does not hurt to inform them, as we don't want them on the other side either.

Often the John Birch Society people can be deprogrammed, but just remember that these people have really been lied to. Or should I say, the truth has been withheld from them? Yet, they continue their silly patriotic conservative games that do no good.

Christians can be "saved" by sitting down with them and going over the story of Joseph (beginning in chapter 37 of *Genesis*) in the way that Lincoln Rockwell does in *White Power. Exodus* 4:24 is a dandy also. And Dr. Oliver can do the trick on the hard cases.

Had Lincoln Rockwell lived, he would have written *The March Up Country*. I would, however, like to know how Mr. Covington expects to get White people to turn their eyes away from "The Cosby Show" long enough to do ANYTHING.

I have come to agree more and more that Christianity is one of the very major problems. Or is the problem the ABSENCE of a strong White racial religion???

Darwin shook up the 'ole Adam and Eve story. And Dr. Oliver shook me up.

88!

S.P., South Carolina

THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ HAVE NO ADVANTAGE OVER THOSE WHO CANNOT READ!

60 Liberty Bell / September 1988

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!